COMMUNISM

THE Australian Communist Party has lost so much of its power and prestige in the years since the war that both Communists and extreme anti-Communists have become something of a joke in liberal circles. If they are not completely ignored. Without going into the question how reasonable or stupid such attitudes are, one of their results is a widespread ignorance of what the Communist Party is doing or trying to do today, what its real strength is, what its plans are. The following report sets out some of the facts.

Membership

The Communist Party now has 5000 members (comparing with 25,000 just after the war). But the number of them have lost all enthusiasm for the Party since Khrushchev’s denunciation of Stalin and remain members only because they are too timid to resign. New recruits are rare, and the Party is becoming increasingly a party for old people.

“Comrade” J. H. Hughes said at the 18th Congress in 1958: “Our Party is not maintaining the youthful quality that it should. The Party is aging.”

Finance

The Party is poor, and the salaries of its officials and 40-odd full-time organiser is little over the basic wage. Its main official sources of income are membership fees and union levies. The fees are only a little higher than the A.L.P.’s and average 4s 4d. a month per person, but even if the 5000 members paid up the Party would receive only £13,000 a year (which does lot more than cover the costs of the Tribune). As for levies from Communist unions, such as the Waterside Workers’ Federation, the Building Workers’ Industrial Union, or the Seamen’s Union, impose political levies for “the two working-class parties” at election time, which they split 60 per cent. to the A.L.P., 40 per cent. to the Communist Party (although Communists in the Union might be less than 10 per cent.). Since most unions keep their public records of these levies, it is hard to be precise about how much the Communists receive in this way, but Australian unionists are cautious with money, and the amount given is unlikely to be more than £3000 at any one time.

On the other hand, the Party owns buildings and printing presses in Sydney and Melbourne worth about £250,000, and it may still have some capital from earlier campaigns: for example, in 1947-1948 it had a campaign to raise £100,000 to bring out a daily Tribune. The campaign was a success; more than £100,000 was raised, but no daily paper appeared.

It is still true that the Party has to supplement its ordinary income by subsidies from Peking and, to a lesser extent, from Moscow. Russia supplies books and periodicals to the Party, and, although the sales are commercially involved, the profits never go to Moscow. More significant are the commissions granted by Peking on trade deals. Individuals masquerade as businessmen and form dummy companies to trade with China. The commissions are small—1/8th of 1 per cent.—but the deals are often big ones.

They would be in a better position if they were better businessmen. For example, they bought the Soviet-Australian Friendship Society building in Flinders Lane, Melbourne, for £50,000 and, although now it might fetch £300,000, they sold it for £20,000. The men with business acumen are found only on the periphery of the Party or in the Front organisations, and are not admitted to the inner circles where basic decisions are made.

The Press

Last year Mr. E. Campbell, a leading Communist, announced that the sales of Tribune, which sells in all States, had fallen by about 16,000 per issue (a drop of 6000 compared with the 1954 figure) and that the magazine was losing more than £2000 per issue, or over £10,000 a year.

Campbell gave these figures of the decline in sales between the 17th Congress (1954) and the 18th Congress (1958):

N.S.W. ... 12116 9122
Queensland ... 4754 3018
(A drop of 37 per cent. or 1766)
W.A. ... 784 400
(A drop of 20 per cent. or 364)
Victoria ... 1476 1426
(A drop of 3 per cent. or 50)
Victoria also has its separate Communist paper, Guardians:
S.A. ... 1670 1000
(Tribune) (A drop of 40 per cent. or 670)
Tasmania ... 540 566
(A rise of 26)
Darwin ... 108 96
(A drop of 12)

Of these sales at least 1000 are made to enemies of the Communist Party who want to know the Communist line—for example, the union leaders, businessmen, the Security Service. A large number of the remaining sales are made by intimidation or by some persistent bore in the workshop who so often urges a man to buy “the workers’ paper” that he finally gives him 6d. to shut him up.

The Communist Review sells less than 3000 copies. A far higher percentage of these sales go to enemies of the Communist Party than is the case with Tribune. It is too turgid even for most Party members, though each is supposed to buy one.

It is always written with the intention of gaining a favourable reception in Peking and Moscow, both of which receive six copies. It is also a vehicle for Party careerism and the more ambitious write articles in it to establish reputations as “emissaries in particular fields—anything from politics to philosophy and literature. It publishes the degrading confessions of men who have momentarily broken from the Party. For example, the various articles by Mr. W. Brown, who once led an unsuccessful revolt against Mr. Sharkey. It is difficult for people outside the top 10 to know the exact significance of particular articles since a man who has bitterly opposed a certain policy on the Central Committee is often forced to write an enthusiastic article in support of it in order to humble him.

Unions

The Communist Party now controls the coal mining unions, most metal unions, sections of the transport unions, and a number of building unions. It has three members on the executive of the A.C.T.U. It has a cell almost every larger workshop in Australia and nearly all unions are subject in some degree to Communist pressure. However, although the Party has office in these unions it does not have complete power. It is not able to pull the unions out on strike for absurd reasons as it could after the war and until the 1949 coal strike. The main value of possession of unions for the Communists lies in the jobs and facilities they provide for Party members. They have far bigger staffs than necessary and the superfluous positions are filled by Communist Party members either as organisers or as clerks and office girls. When Mr. Ernie Thornton, for example, ran the Ironworkers’ Association the union had 30,000 members, and now although the membership has increased by over 50 per cent. to 46,000 the staff is still smaller than it
IN AUSTRALIA

Party line business
made sense
less permanent
as the
urgid outreach
always
appeared
the six
Party write
things
and
men
for Mr. Scrimgeour.
It
had
with
on
controls
in
It
most
and
more
is
in
the
an
of
of
prone
by
as
as
ex-
has
in
was under Thornton's control. As well as providing jobs the Communist unions helped the Party in many small ways: they put cars at the Party's disposal; they provided newprint or gestetner paper, stationary, and ink to the Party; they send Communists to Party training schools at union expense; they provide organizers, sign-writers, and materials, floats for May Day processions. The Watersides Workers' Federation runs a film unit to make Communist propaganda documentaries for use in Australia, Russia, or China.

Cultural Agencies

Most of these—the New Theatres, the Australian Book Society, the Realist Film Society, the Realist Writers, and the odd dancing or art groups—have suffered badly from the decline of Communist influence, since they are self-financing, depend on the support of fellow-travellers and sympathisers, and receive no direct backing from the Party. The Party's grip is weakest on the New Theatre movement, especially in Melbourne. It is impossible to keep all independence of spirit or bohemianism out of theatrical groups, even Communist ones, and recently there have been productions of Brendan Behan's play. Despite opposition on the ground that Behan's blasphemy would offend the Peace Parson it is now wooing. The Australian Book Society, which was founded in 1932 in order to stem the Party's decline among intellectuals, has suffered from the recent rise of "revisionism" but is now firmly under Party control again. It has about 3000 subscribers, has published several Communist novels and books, and is losing money. The Party's Society of Dancers and the Studio of Realist Art are now defunct, though they could if necessary be easily resurrected. The Realist Writers are only useful to the Party as a vehicle to attract literary deviationists such as those writers who are associated with magazines like Overland. Its membership is about four people in each city.

Fellow-travellers

One of the Party's urgent needs at the moment is to rebuild the ranks of its fellow-travellers, which has almost disappeared in the last few years. The main hope is the Melbourne Peace Congress in November. As far as basic organisation is concerned this Congress is Communist from top to bottom, but it has succeeded in enlisting a following among scientists, parsons, and artists and from the A.L.P. and the A.C.T.U.

It is probably, however, that many of these supporters will withdraw before the Congress starts in November.

As part of the same policy of winning back its fellow-travellers, the Party must discredit the "revisionists," the people who left the Party or began actively opposing it after Khrushchev's attack on Stalin and the suppression of the Hungarian revolution, and who are associated with the magazines Outlook and Overland. Since it usually takes four years for an ex-Communist to feel mentally liberated enough to attack the Party, the Communists have not yet begun to feel the full propagandist effect of the desertions since 1956. The knowledge that their exposures will be welcomed by "revisionists" as policy a слиет the entire "revisionists," but more will be heard from them in the next two or three years. Since they still think in Marxist terms they have a great appeal to wavering Communists and fellow-travellers, and the Communist Party has begun systematically attacking and smearring them. In the Communist Review they are described as "the main danger to the workers' movement."

Programme

In its attitude to the A.L.P. the Communists are currently divided by the traditional disagreement between the industrial and political wings of the Party. In the light of the general isolation of the Communist Party and the attacks by the A.L.P. on unity tickets the Party's political functionaries are intensifying their attacks on Dr. Evatt, Mr. Chamberlain, and Mr. Ward, and on the A.L.P. as a whole. Writing in the October 1959 Communist Review, Mr. D'Attili says: "In recent years too many illusions have grown about changes in the A.L.P. into a genuine workers' party, particularly as a result of the progressive forces' alliance and the emergence of a new left-wing. We know that these illusions have affected some members of our Party." He and people like him advocate that the Party take an independent revolutionary line.

On the other hand Healy at the recent A.C.T.U. Congress spoke of the need to preserve the unity of the A.C.T.U. and implied that Communists

should avoid open policies that would widen the gulf between the Communist Party and the A.L.P. He wants his Party to continue the policy of seeking its ends by influencing the A.L.P.

The main industrial issues it is currently over the price of wheat and the penal clauses in the Arbitration Acts. As far as margins are concerned, the Courts and the A.L.P. will leave them little of practical value to exploit. As for penal clauses, since throughout the last 10 years there have been at least 10,000 strikes among Federal unions with membership in at least two States, and at any given time there are between 10 and 20 striking on an Australia, and yet only 11 unions have been fined by the Commonwealth Industrial Court, it is clearly not a very good issue to raise. Further, the A.L.P. Acts are no more significant. There are, however, no other issues to raise.

As far as extending its control over unions is concerned, its two main immediate goals are to gain control over the Amalgamated Engineers' Union, where it is already strong, to exploit the movement established by Mr. Clyde Cameron in Brisbane to overthrow the leadership of the Australian Workers' Union, which is the largest in Australia, and has assets worth millions of pounds.

The Future

"Though weak the Communist Party is stronger in Australia than in America, Britain, or even India. It is surprising, however, that it has not been reduced to the situation of having been knocked out. A.L.P. critics commonly blame the D.L.P. for recent Communist successes in union elections especially in Victoria, where the D.L.P. is strong. There, unlike in N.S.W., the Communists control the Tramways Union, the Australian Railways Union, the Plumbers, the Builders' Labourers, and the Meat Employees. Whenever a D.L.P. candidate stands the Communist or Unity Ticket candidate is likely to get the Protestant vote. There is some truth in this, although the fact remains that it was the Industrial Groups who led the successful attack on the Communists after the war when they controlled nearly all major unions. The Communists have never recovered from this attack. Besides, although the Communists are weak in unions in N.S.W. where the D.L.P. is also weak, they have more effective political influence there through the Labour Government. The basic reason for the survival of the Communist Party is not the D.L.P. but the mediocrity and gullibility of the A.L.P."