Capitalism Fouls Things Up
CONTROL ON THE JOB-SAFETY FIRST!

ROD QUINN

It should not take a Royal Commission to tell building workers that they have the right to work in safe conditions. At the Royal Commission into the West Gate Bridge disaster, we were told that there were no safe conditions for the workers. Yet the same workers kept on working, aware of the terrible risk.

For too long, building workers have been left vulnerable. The fact that an expert was called for his first day back to work shows the danger that they face. Building workers face a hard day's work to ensure that they are safe. They have worked for decades to improve safety on the job. Some have been killed, but others have lived to tell the tale.

The construction industry is one of the most dangerous industries in Australia. It is estimated that there are over 1,000 workplace fatalities each year in the construction industry. Many of these deaths are preventable. It is time that we took action to protect workers from harm.

The Royal Commission into the West Gate Bridge disaster highlighted the need for stronger safety measures. It recommended that the construction industry adopt a new safety framework. This framework should include the following:

-更强的安全培训和教育
-更好的安全设备和工具
-实时的安全监控
-更严格的安全检查和审计
-更高的安全惩罚和处罚

We need to work together to ensure that the construction industry is a safer place for all workers. This involves everyone, from workers to employers to government.

THE MELBOURNE FINGER

Mr. S. Jones has been appointed to the position of editor of the Melbourne Finger. He brings with him a wealth of experience in the field of journalism and a dedication to providing high-quality news and information to the people of Melbourne.

The Finger will continue to cover the latest news and events in Melbourne, providing readers with the latest updates and insights.

HELP!

When faced with a problem, it's important to have a support network. Here are some tips on how to find help:

1. Talk to your friends and family. They may have advice or be able to lend a helping hand.
2. Contact a professional. There are many organizations that can provide assistance, such as lawyers, therapists, or financial advisors.
3. Look online. There are many resources available online, such as forums, blogs, and websites.
4. Consider hiring a consultant. They can provide personalized advice and guidance.

Remember, help is available if you need it. Don't be afraid to ask for support.

QUEBEC: A COLONY OF ENGLISH CANADA

Mark Gans

The following article is from the Canadian-American newspaper SAGE.

Quebec is a colony of English Canada. The Quebec Act of 1774, which gave the colony its name, was passed by the British Parliament as a result of the American Revolution. It gave the colony a degree of autonomy that was not enjoyed by the other colonies.

The Act of 1774 was a major victory for the Quebecois, who had long sought independence from British rule. It gave them the right to govern their own affairs and to use the French language in all official transactions.

Today, Quebec remains a unique province of Canada, with its own government and its own laws. It is a playground for the English-speaking majority of the country, and it offers a haven for those who want to live in a French-speaking environment.

The Quebec Act of 1774 is a symbol of the struggle of the Quebecois for independence. It is a reminder that even in a country as diverse as Canada, there are regions that have their own unique identities and cultures.

The struggle of Quebecois for independence continues today. They are fighting for their language, their culture, and their way of life.
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POLLUTION

JOHN PRICE

We are beginning to realize that we may be facing the final disaster to human society. Incidents such as the Exxon-Valdez tanker spill have shown that even the most innocent действия can have catastrophic consequences. As a society, we have chosen to ignore the warnings of environmentalists, to continue our pursuit of industrial growth at all costs. This is a false economy, for it is the destruction of our own future.

But there are no signs of a withdrawal from industrial activity. "We're expanding our program of industrial growth," says the government, "while reducing our programs of industrial activity." There is no sign of a reduction in industrial activity.

In conclusion, the Exxon-Valdez disaster highlights the need for a fundamental change in our approach to the environment. We must shift from an economy based on industrial growth to one based on conservation and sustainability. Only then can we hope to avoid the final disaster.
WORLD REVOLUTION TODAY—TROTSKYISM OR STALINISM?

ERNST MANDEL

The very fact that Trotskyism is to be defended as a revolutionary alternative means that the problem of Trotskyism today should be examined critically. This means that the criticism of Trotskyism is not correct, for one is not trying to establish certain revolutionary principles as such, but to examine all possibilities of their realization in the practice of the working class.

The Trotskyism of today is not the Trotskyism of a united and revolutionary party. It is not the Trotskyism of the International, but the Trotskyism of the International as such. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead.

The Trotskyism of today is not the Trotskyism of the International which fought for the world revolution and the unity of the working class. It is the Trotskyism of the International as such. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead.

The Trotskyism of today is not the Trotskyism of the International which fought for the world revolution and the unity of the working class. It is the Trotskyism of the International as such. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead. The Trotskyism of today is not the revolutionary party which Trotsky himself led, but the Trotskyist group which he did not lead.
REPRESSIVE LAWS—What they are and how to fight them

DAVID HOLMES

In October, Bob Slate’s “anti-denial” conference was made by the Federation of British Columbia. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Firstly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.
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Thirdly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Fourthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Fifthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Sixthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Seventhly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Eighthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Ninthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Tenthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Eleventhly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Twelfthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Thirteenthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Fourteenthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Fifteenthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Sixteenthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Seventeenthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Eighteenthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Nineteenthly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.

Twentiethly, the law allows the paper to publish anything that it wants, and it does not have to follow any rules. The issue is not about the right of the newspaper to print anything that it wants, but about the right of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper. Slate is the publisher of the “New York Times”. He claims that the “New York Times” is not responsible, and that it is not the job of the newspaper to print anything that is not published in the newspaper.
Direct Action: Interviews: INSIDE LABOR

Why do you believe there was Federal intervention?

Firstly, there has been a tremendous decrease in the power of the Australian political parties. The Victorian ALP has been weakened by jealousies and conflicts and has consequently become a mere appendage of many small interests. The party’s power has been concentrated in the hands of a few leaders and has resulted in the collapse of the party’s influence in the Labour movement. The ALP is now largely a political machine, which is run by men who are more concerned with their own position than with the welfare of the party.

The Labor party has also been weakened by its own internal divisions. The party has a rigid structure, which makes it difficult for new ideas and new leadership to develop. The party’s leaders are often more concerned with maintaining their own power than with finding new solutions to the party’s problems.

The Labor party has also been weakened by its lack of support from the working class. The party has been too concerned with winning elections and has not been able to provide the working class with the support they need. The Labor party has also been too concerned with winning elections and has not been able to provide the working class with the support they need.

The Labor party has also been weakened by its lack of support from the working class. The party has been too concerned with winning elections and has not been able to provide the working class with the support they need. The Labor party has also been too concerned with winning elections and has not been able to provide the working class with the support they need.

The Labor party has also been weakened by its lack of support from the working class. The party has been too concerned with winning elections and has not been able to provide the working class with the support they need. The Labor party has also been too concerned with winning elections and has not been able to provide the working class with the support they need.
EDUCATIONAL CAMP

SYA's summer educational camp takes place at Camp Eureka, near Warragul, Victoria. The site is about 50 miles from Melbourne and consists of creek, cabin, bath and shower. The camp will last from Saturday, January 2 to Saturday, January 9, 1971. Papers will be given on a wide range of subjects including history of the Australian labour movement over the last 20 years, history of the revolutionary socialist movement, anti-war movement, organisational concepts of Leninism, the anti-war movement, women's liberation, historical materialism and many others.

For a full list of speakers and all other details, including transport and cost, write PO Box 581, Sydney South, 2000. Alternatively, ring the branch in your State - but urgently, so that we can act and arrange for everyone. (For branches see this page.)

A MONTHLY MAGAZINE FOR THE RADICALIZATION

The best journal of socialist thought in America today. Subscriptions available from SYA, Box 581, Sydney South, 2000, or appraisal rates from

On the other hand, you could...

JOIN the Socialist Youth Alliance

If you oppose the Vietnam war and conscription - if you want a socialist Australia - if you support the struggle for socialist democracy in Eastern Europe, Russia and China, the struggle for women's liberation and the struggle for national liberation throughout the world - then JOIN THE SYA.

1. I would like to join SYA.
2. I would like more information on SYA.
3. I enclose $ toward the fund drive
4. I enclose $ subscription to "Direct Action" ($1 for 7 issues)

Name ..............................................
Address ...........................................
Postcode ........................................
Phone  ...........................................

Post to SYA, PO Box 581, Sydney South, 2000, or to the branch in your State.

SYA. BRANCHES:

SYDNEY: SYA, 165 Reservoir St., Surry Hills, 2000. Ph. 293-3470
MELBOURNE: SYA, 16 Queen St., Carlton, 3053. Ph. 347-5201
ADELAIDE: SYA, 240 Rundle St., Adelaide, 5000. Ph. 23-3328
CANBERRA: SYA, 2 Burke St., O'Connor, ACT, 2601. Ph. 43-6717

Revolutionary international Marxist Review, edited by Terry Allen. News, discussion and analysis for the revolutionary left. Full coverage of the international anti-imperialist struggle and the struggles of the working class and radical youth in Britain.

For a subscription to The Red Mole, in the form below:

Please send me THE RED MOLE for the next 1/6/12 months. I enclose $ (cash or money order only)

Name ............................................
Address ........................................

THE RED MOLE, 152 Fonthill Road, London N1, England.

SUBS: 1 year: $1.00 (includes postage) 6 months: $0.50 3 months: $0.25

$1.00 (includes postage)