One hundred Cruise missiles, 18,000 tonnes of bombs, $600 million — just some of what the United States used in the first day of the war with Iraq. The number of lives so far is still unclear. We are told the war in the Gulf was caused by Saddam Hussein, who is “insane”. But is explaining the insanity of war that simple?

By Graham Matthews

War, we are told, is caused by the inordinate optimism of individuals: World War I was “caused” by the assassination of Archduke Franz Ferdinand; World War II was “caused” because Hitler was mad. The “imperatives of evil” we are told, strike the values of decent civilization and must be combated on the whole scale of the whole world.

But imagine a society where social divisions no longer exist and social differences are eliminated. A society where there is no real injustice between people, where social peace and democratic involvement is the order of the day. You may say I am a dreamer, but I’m not the only one. I hope someday you’ll join us and the world will be as one.

In Resistance, we are not afraid to dream of a better world. But more than dream, we are not afraid to act. We know it is possible to bring about change. We’ve seen popular movements stop the Vietnam War, bring down Marcos and the Berlin Wall. Problems exist but the fact remains that people can and do change problems and societies. So, if you want to be part of bringing our dreams to reality — join Resistance!

WHAT CAN WE DO?

War occurs not only on the scale of individuals, but as a result of fundamental social contradictions between rich countries and poor countries, between rich races and poor races, between rich people and poor people, between nations and between private commercial interests.

The first two world wars were caused by the conflicts among rich industrialized countries in the West over the control of these colonies. The Middle East was a huge trade route, and the West wanted to control its resources and wealth.

Now, the West faces a new world conflict. The United States of America and the countries it controls want to control the new world conflict. They believe it is inevitable and inevitable it will bring them wealth.

It is an accident that the United States of America, the richest and most powerful state in the world, has been involved in economic aid and war and is said that these wars were waged to protect peace and justice. The policies of all the governments of the world, however, are not for peace but are for war.

The world is at war and the majority of people in the world are at war. The majority of people in the world are at war with what they know they are doing.
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The world is in war by war and poverty. Many in our society are in despair, apparently without hope. We are told that the world is at war, that the world is at war with the world.

In the John Lennon classic, Imagine, the BBC has turned the silence into the silence in between Beethoven’s notes. In the world, there is no war. In the world, war is not continuing.

Imagine there’s no countries
It’s not too late to die
And no religion too
Imagine all the people
Living life in peace

When the powers that be think this is a joke, perhaps we should give it a thought. They’d like us to believe this is an insignificance, convinced that this is a joke.

But why wouldn’t be possible to put an end to the war. After all, didn’t the Vietnam movement achieve this? More than that, the anti-war movement made it harder for the US and other countries that pay to dominate the globe to win.

As at the end of the twentieth century, more people than ever know that the divisions between nations and cultures can be bridged. We are the most inter-nationalized and globally aware generation in human history.

The unequal relation between the industrialized powers and the Third World are there for us to see in full. There is no excuse to see a world of peace means more than ending wars, there must be a cooperative relationship between nations.

Our own society — a relatively advanced and educated society — is no longer than what they charge for charge. We’re told that you think, you’re nothing but a dreamer. But if we don’t dare to dream, if we don’t dare to think that the world, including our own society, could be different, it means those in power just have to keep going on paying for their wealth and dying in their war.

Let’s imagine a society where social divisions no longer exist and social differences are eliminated. A society where there is no real injustice between people, where social peace and democratic involvement is the order of the day. You may say I am a dreamer, but I’m not the only one. I hope someday you’ll join us and the world will be as one.

In Resistance, we are not afraid to dream of a better world. But more than dream, we are not afraid to act. We know it is possible to bring about change. We’ve seen popular movements stop the Vietnam War, bring down Marcos and the Berlin Wall. Problems exist but the fact remains that people can and do change problems and societies. So, if you want to be part of bringing our dreams to reality — join Resistance!

PEACEFUL SETTLEMENTS

The nineteenth century German military historian Carl von Clausewitz famously said that “war is the continuation of policy by other means”. Has grown
"Join the march and stop the war"

Bush's "New World Order" is simply the reinforcement of the old neo-colonial order with the US as the only surviving superpower, if this order is not challenged by small and poor nations, national liberation movements, and all people around the world, US sanctions and embargoes against the New World Order can be expected. The US is no longer the world's dominant power, but it remains a significant player. The US military intervention in Afghanistan and Iraq shows the US's desire to maintain its global influence.

In the Middle East, the US has been trying to maintain its control over the region, which is rich in oil and natural resources. The US has been supporting various regimes and factions in the region, including the Islamic Republic of Iran, which is seen as a threat to US interests. The US has also been trying to prevent the rise of alternative political and economic systems in the region, which could challenge US dominance.

In conclusion, the US's "New World Order" is a continuation of the old colonial order, with the US as the dominant power. The US is trying to maintain its control over the region, which is rich in oil and natural resources, and to prevent the rise of alternative political and economic systems in the region. The US's efforts to maintain its global influence are met with resistance from various groups, including the US itself, which is the target of US sanctions and embargoes.
When The War Is Over Will The Environment Survive?

There is a number of reasons why the effects of this war could be formidable. There are environmental problems like the destruction of the Arabian Peninsula, which has reached a critical point and is demanding the survival of the planet. The threat of new nuclear wastes must not be left to the local countries or to the local community. There will be a need for wider use of oil spills and for the local community to take the lead in the recovery process. The international community must take responsibility for the environment and for the recovery process.

Nuclear Legacy

The destruction of nuclear facilities or weapons, even if they are not exploded, would release and contaminate the environment with a mix of radioactive substances. The chances are this will come into play in some form or other. According to news reports, there is a risk of nuclear warfare in the Gulf region. This is due to the presence of tens of thousands of nuclear warheads that have been stationed in the region, and the recent development of nuclear weapons.

- The war may involve the use of nuclear weapons. The US has about 1000 nuclear warheads in the Gulf region. These are a "dead" nuclear weapon that will be used to destroy the planet and life as we know it.
- The world's single largest nuclear reactor, located in the middle of the Middle East. It can be used in a number of ways, including the burning of oil spills released in the region. This will lead to the release of radioactive substances in the region.

- An international conference in London on May 18 that it is very likely that the region could be the scene of a nuclear disaster. The conference also discussed the possibility of a nuclear explosion in the region and the potential for a nuclear disaster in the region.

- John Fox, a renowned policy advisor at US government, who sees the region as a potential target for nuclear weapons. He believes that the region could be the scene of a nuclear disaster in the region and the potential for a nuclear explosion in the region.

- The community in the region is concerned about the potential for nuclear disaster in the region. They believe that the region could be the scene of a nuclear explosion in the region.

The environmental effects of the Gulf War could be devastating for the region. The region is already facing environmental challenges like climate change and the loss of biodiversity. The war could exacerbate these problems and lead to further damage to the environment.

To prevent this, it is important to take action now to reduce the environmental impact of the war. This can be done by implementing measures to reduce the amount of oil spilled in the region, by reducing the amount of waste produced, and by reducing the amount of water used. It is also important to support the recovery process and to help the local community to recover from the effects of the war.
If you blow out the candles in my eyes,
If you freeze all the kisses on my lips,
If you fill my native air with hissing curses,
Or silence my anguish,
Fare my soul,
Uproot the smile from my children’s faces.
If you raise a thousand walls,
And nail my eyes to humiliation,
Enemy of man,
I shall not compromise
And to the end
I shall fight.

Report of a Bankrupt, Samir Al-Qasseur

“We are fighting today to create the new Palestine of tomorrow: a progressive, democratic and non-sectarian Palestine in which Christian, Moslem and Jew will worship, work, live peacefully and enjoy equal rights. Our Palestinian revolution still stretches its welcoming hand to all human beings who want to fight for and live in a democratic, tolerant Palestine irrespective of race, colour or religion.”

Al-Fateh, Cairo, 28 January 1969

Resistence

FREE PALESTINE
FOR PEACE IN THE MIDDLE EAST
here we go again . . .

"It’s important to understand the link between the environmental movement that builds up in the United States and the environment movement in the United States. The link is not usually all that clear, but it is there, and it has been the subject of a number of global system. This system is well developed, and the words of many who now think, and hope, that global warming will not happen, have been consigned to the 'so-called' book of Mother Earth.

For the first time in the history of the world, the idea of a New Age of Imperialism has been raised by President Bush. The Bush administration has been increasing its military presence in the Middle East, and its support for Israel, and has also been increasing its economic ties with Mexico and South America. This is a clear indication that the Bush administration is preparing for a new round of imperialist wars.

By Jonathan Strauss

The Green Left Weekly

The Green Left Weekly is a new national paper, owned, produced and distributed by a wide cross-section of the Australian community.

Don’t miss out on this much-needed alternative source of news, views and analysis. Show your support and get involved by buying a copy for only $2.50 or subscribe ($25 for 6 months/$50 for a year) and writing articles, reviews, etc.

For more information telephone (02) 9240 1230, fax (02) 9240 1381 or write to PO Box 316, Broadway, NSW 2007
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POLITICAL PRISONER

By Brian Müller
ENVIRONMENTAL
Youth Alliance is a group of concerned young people
who want to stop this destruction of the planet. Resis-
tance magazine's Laura Foley interviewed three
members of ETA:

Who did you join ETA and why should
other young people join?

Tim: There are a lot of environmental
problems all over the world, and
Greenpeace has shown that within one
year, if we do it together, then we can
make a difference. We see the same
problems all over the world, and if we
join together, we can make a difference.

Yisel: I feel that I had a lot of
activities, but also I knew that
important for a young people's organization to
come about. It's important to know
what we can do in order to help
environment. The more people that are
involved, the more powerful we can be. So
why should people join ETA?

Leanne: Young people must
join together and face environmental
groups because we can learn so
many things. We can learn about
problems, about how to achieve our
goals. We can also learn about
how to work as a team. And, of course,
we can meet other people who share
the same interests.

What can ETA do?

Yisel: We can show the public that
young people are concerned. We can
listen and take more notice when it's
their kids' problem.

Leanne: We can also help
young people's awareness. I think
every one of us can make a
difference. We can start by doing
something small, like recycling or
saving resources.

What kind of actions should ETA
take?

Tim: ETA can take different
carries out protests, organize
workshops, and educate people
about environmental issues.

What plans do you for ETA for
this year?

I think we'll be focusing on
reducing pollution and
environmental awareness.

Leanne: I think we'll be
working on reducing waste
and pollution. We'll also be
organizing more
workshops and events to
come together and
educate people.

WHERE TO CONTACT ETA
New South Wales PO Box 51, Sydney 2000
Phone: 02 247 9342, 02 247 9343
Queensland: 128 Albert Street, Brisbane 4000
Phone: 07 2239 5057
Victoria: 183 Talbot Street, Essendon 3040
Phone: 03 9374 2217
WESTERN AUSTRALIA
PO Box 29000, Northbridge 6003
Phone: 08 9320 1492
SOUTH AUSTRALIA
PO Box 276, Parafield Gardens 5107
Phone: 08 8375 4386
TASMANIA
PO Box 206, Latrobe 7258
Phone: 03 6236 3854

*This information is subject to change. Please check the ETA website for the most accurate details.

*All numbers listed are for general inquiries. For specific details, please contact ETA directly.
Woman is made, not born
The origins of women's oppression

By Lisa Macdonald

"You've come a long way baby!" This line was popular in the 1970s and 80s. It referred to the attention that women had been given in the last decades, and how women had become more independent. It is often said that women are not born, but made. And this is true. Women are not born with any skills or abilities, but are taught these skills and abilities. Women are not born with any knowledge, but are taught this knowledge. Women are not born with any desires, but are taught these desires. Women are not born with any emotions, but are taught these emotions. Women are not born with any values, but are taught these values. Women are not born with any beliefs, but are taught these beliefs.

The social order is the origin of women's oppression through a process of socialisation which both defines and exploits women's identity and role. This process is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and by the socialisation of women's minds. Woman is made, not born, because society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman.

The socialisation of women's bodies is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman.

The socialisation of women's minds is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman.

The socialisation of women's bodies and minds is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman.

The socialisation of women's bodies and minds is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman.

The socialisation of women's bodies and minds is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman.

The socialisation of women's bodies and minds is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman.

The socialisation of women's bodies and minds is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman.

The socialisation of women's bodies and minds is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman. This definition is then imposed on women, and this imposition is reinforced by the socialisation of women's bodies and minds. This process is called socialisation, and it is the process by which society defines what it means to be a woman.
MONEY FOR JOBS NOT WAR!

UNEMPLOYMENT GROWS

We and recession. Bloodshed and poverty. It is not the best way to begin a year. Yet this is how many Australians will remember the early days of 1991.

At a time when a lot of media attention is given to the crisis of socialism, we shouldn’t forget that the system we live under, capitalism, has many problems of its own. Recession and war are only two of them.

It was as late as November 29 last year that the government and media finally acknowledged Australia was in recession. For almost a year they argued over technical definitions, yet did nothing to stop the downward trend.

When the September National Accounts figures were released, they confirmed that the economy had slumped dramatically. In fact, it was the worst slump in output for 20 years.

Unemployment is currently 8.4 per cent of the workforce, with young people between the ages of 15-19, as high as 23.4 per cent. This translates into 927,798 individuals who are out of work.

Yet these official figures don’t give a complete picture of the real total. Married women who are looking for work aren’t included in these figures, neither are those who have been cut off the dole, or those who are ineligible for unemployment benefit because they are under 16 or are among the young homeless who don’t have a permanent fixed address. Considering all this, those out of work could be closer to one million.

All Treasurer Paul Keating had to say was that it was a “recession Australia had to have”. He said we had to learn that “not all recessions are bad”. But who is he trying to fool?

For those out of work and their dependents, the recession is not bad, it is devastating. The dole barely covers food and hourly costs, let alone clothing, transport, fuel, car registration, phone, electricity and educational expenses.

And before you can receive your first dole payment, you have to have used up any cash or savings you may have accumulated over the years or acquired with repayment or annual leave. It seems only the rich are allowed to have savings while the unemployed are condemned to poverty.

The causes of unemployment are tied to the way our economy is organised. It can’t be organised to employ everyone because a pool of unemployed helps the owners of the economy keep wages down and workers in line.

We are taught at school and through the media that this is the best and most natural structure for an economy, but consider the implications: an uncontrolled and therefore uncontrollable small minority of the population make decisions on the basis of whether they can make a profit. Not on whether it will benefit the majority of the population. People’s needs and wants, and consideration for the environment come last in their list of priorities.

This irrationality and lack of planning produces the familiar business cycle of capitalism: boom and bust, good times and crises.

In pre-capitalist times, economic crises were caused by shortages of food, resources and labour or floods, droughts, and wars. Today economic crises are caused by over-production. This shouldn’t be confused with producing more than is needed, but more than can be sold at a profit. This is at the heart of the crisis today.

Australia’s economy is particularly vulnerable to crises of over-production. Because our domestic markets are small, companies must often compete on the world market, facing strong competition from producers of the same commodities.

When companies can’t sell the same amount of goods or services at a profit, they cut back production and get rid of workers. This is happening in the car, wool, wheat, manufacturing, and construction industries today. And of course their slump has repercussions for all other industries that rely on them for business.

Unemployment is a solution for small and big business. It saves them money. Whether workers and their families suffer is irrelevant.

But the tragic irony is that there is plenty of work to do. It may not be profitable for entrepreneurs to build schools and hospitals and clean up our environment, but the work still exists and the utter waste of one of the world’s most important resources — people — is one of capitalism’s biggest crimes.

It is just so irrational. People unemployed while there are not enough houses, hospitals and schools. When public transport needs expanding. A damaged environment needs cleaning. And what about a shorter working week? It isn’t a matter of “not enough resources” rather it is a matter of prioritising resources. Money should be spent on creating jobs for society’s needs.

In eight years of Labor government, the ALP has assisted big business with its aims of putting profits before people’s needs. Wage earners have lost 20 per cent or real wages since Hawke came to power, while business has made significant increases in their share of the country’s wealth.

Government policy on the economy is geared to suit big business. Bob Hawke even brags about his business links with Kerry Packer and Alan Bond. And when Hawke unilaterally decides to send frigates to the Gulf — at the cost of $50 million — when Australia is entering a recession, his priorities are clear: money for war and profits, not jobs.

The Labor government will continue along this path so long as no one complains. No doubt they have plans to cut our living standards even further, with more workers losing jobs and those who still have them facing massive wage cuts. We could be entering a depression just like that of the ‘30s.

We can’t rely on Hawke, Keating or anyone in the Labor government to solve unemployment. Neither can we rely on the system of capitalism to create jobs at a time when it is least profitable.

The problem is the system itself, with its narrow interests and its love of money. The long-term solution is a new system, one that is planned, owned and controlled by all the people. A system that is based on people’s needs, not profits.

With war and recession on the agenda for a while to come, there is no better time than now to organise and stop the continuing tragedy. It is a matter of urgency that we organise and protest now.

MONEY FOR JOBS NOT WAR!