Judd Fined £100

Extracts from the Charges

The following extracts are from the two charges upon which Judd was fined £10 each. The third was not fitted up.

The first charge stated that he had sent a letter to Judd in April 1917, urging him to be more active in his work for the Socialist party. The second charge stated that he had failed to contribute money to the Socialist fund. Judd denied both charges, saying he had never received the letter and did not know who had paid the money to the fund.

The prosecution produced evidence that Judd had indeed paid the money, but the defense argued that he had paid it unknowingly and without intent to deceive. The judge found Judd guilty on both charges and fined him £10 for each.

The Paris Commune of 1871

On March 18th, 1871, 48 years ago, the Paris Commune was inaugurated in the city of Paris. It was the first attempt made by the working class to govern themselves and the working men and women who did not have the right to vote. The Commune was a short-lived experiment that ended in defeat and executions of its leaders. However, its legacy lives on in the radical ideas and principles it stood for.

The Commune was a result of a popular uprising against the Second Empire of Napoleon III. The Commune was led by workers and soldiers, and it was the first time in history that the working class had tried to take power. The Commune was based on the principles of socialism and democracy, and it was an experiment in direct democracy.

However, the Commune was also a time of great violence and repression. The government of Napoleon III sent in troops to put down the uprising, and thousands of people were killed or imprisoned. The Commune ended in failure, but its ideals and principles continue to inspire people today.

The Paris Commune of 1871 is remembered as a brave and valiant attempt by the working class to take power and to create a better world. Its legacy lives on in the struggles of workers and activists all over the world who continue to fight for a more just and equitable society.
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The socialist revolution knows full well that man is not superior to principle, that principle is superior to man, but he does not fly off the handle with the maxim and thus turn the maxim into a principle, to the detriment of the other with the maxim that no principle is superior to the movement or organization. The engineer knows that steam is a powerful fuel, but that it can be turned to any use, and the boiler will burst, or you will never have another steam engine. The manufacturer must control the machinery, and thus kick the locomotive off the track. Similarly, the revolutionary knows that the organization must be controlled by correct principles, as the boiler must hold the steam, or the steam will amount to nothing.

A League of Explorers or A League of Labor.

The Peace Conference at Paris has, through President Wilson of America, presented the draft of the League of Nations to the World. The draft was unanimously accepted by the conference. In this treaty paragraph appeared in Sydney 'Sun,' on February 17th, the draft of the League was presented to the President of the United States. President Wilson, one knows that four-effects of the peace have been the subject of the peace, one of the most important being the League of Labor. But is the League of Labor to be an organization of laborers, or is it to be an organization of laborers, or is it to be an organization of laborers?

State Capitalism.

The workers of Australia are today being side-tracked, and led away from the real problem of taking over the means of production by the two parties, the Labor and the Liberald. The workers live in poverty, without any prospects of saving anything. The capitalists expose the true nature of State capitalism in the following section:
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In attend the class. As soon as the embargo against meetings is lifted the class will resume re

THE UNITY QUESTION

A meeting of the General Executive on December 10th, after considerable discussion, decided that the following resolution was to be read to all branches in the name of the S.L.P., and that it shall be in the form of an appeal to the membership of the party:

To all branches, in the name of the S.L.P.,

"We have decided that the following resolution be adopted by the General Executive:

The S.L.P. and all its branches are in agreement with the following principles of the S.P.S.:

1. The principle of unity.
2. The principle of the international working class.
3. The principle of the right to work for the benefit of all.
4. The principle of the right to vote for the benefit of all.
5. The principle of the right to education for all.

We, therefore, appeal to all branches of the S.L.P. to adopt this resolution and to work for the benefit of all.

Sincerely yours,
The General Executive of the S.L.P.

"This resolution was adopted by the General Executive on December 10th, after considerable discussion, and it was decided that it shall be read to all branches in the name of the S.L.P., and that it shall be in the form of an appeal to the membership of the party:
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1. The principle of unity.
2. The principle of the international working class.
3. The principle of the right to work for the benefit of all.
4. The principle of the right to vote for the benefit of all.
5. The principle of the right to education for all.

We, therefore, appeal to all branches of the S.L.P. to adopt this resolution and to work for the benefit of all.

Sincerely yours,
The General Executive of the S.L.P."
ABILITY AND LABOUR
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The people

ADAM S. L.

I am writing this in order to make a point that perhaps, does not come across in other communications.

Since you mentioned Düsseldorf, I feel at liberty to make a point that it is very difficult to draw a distinction between the "Weekly People", which I am familiar with, and the "Weekly People" of the future. Düsseldorf, in its current form, is never revealed (though it possibly never will) as the Kaleidoscope combined with a newspaper. It is not just a weekly magazine; it is a combination of both. It has openly attacked the organisation in its recent issue, and has not shied away from criticism.

That sort of thing goes on all the time, and the Kaleidoscope does not do so, and there is no such thing as a Kaleidoscope magazine. It is a way of life and a way of thinking, and that is what it is about.

ARNOX PETERSEN,
National Secretary,

Cessnock Branch Activities.

Very successful meetings have been held of late in the Cessnock Branch, on which all the current debates and issues of the day are covered in a very thorough manner. The meetings have been well attended and are becoming more popular with each passing week.

We hope to continue with these meetings for as long as possible, and also to extend our activities to other branches in the near future.

Cessnock Branch Committee,

The Branch was visited by two representatives from the National Committee.

The visit was highly successful and the representatives were very impressed with the activities of the Branch.

We hope to continue with these meetings for as long as possible, and also to extend our activities to other branches in the near future.
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