An Open Letter.

4 ROYAL ARCADE,  
MELBOURNE, July, 1906.

I believe in the principles enunciated in this Pamphlet. The sooner the people are ready to adopt a Collective form of Ownership, in place of the present system of Capitalistic control, the better for mankind.

But, meanwhile, we have to carry on Business under present methods, and the Consumer must seek the best value obtainable. That’s just where I think I can be of service to you. I have built up the Largest Bespoke Tailoring Business in this city by giving Good Value. The Garments I make Fit well, and bear that stamp of Individuality, which is evidence of the attention given to every detail, which, after all, is just as necessary in the building of a correct-fitting Suit of Clothes as in the erection of a Cathedral.

All work is made by well-paid Labour and the Eight-hour day. I send Goods to all parts of the Commonwealth, and will be glad to forward Samples and Measure Forms on receipt of your address. Every kind of Garment made. Sac Suits from 45/-

Yours faithfully,

J. P. JONES,

4 ROYAL ARCADE,  
MELBOURNE.
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PREFACE.

In writing a few introductory notes to this pamphlet of Mr. Tom Mann’s, I do so with much interest and pleasure, not that I necessarily endorse every expression herein contained, but because I know it to be a valuable contribution to the all-absorbing subject of social economics. This is a subject that is now confronting every nation and people. It is, I believe, impossible to shirk it. It imperatively demands attention, and is likely to continue so to do until the pressing problems embraced within its scope are solved.

This pamphlet should be much more interesting to the non-Socialist than to the Socialist. The author is a man of quite exceptional experience as regards his life’s work, he has been brought into close contact with the social reformers of the various European countries, and has himself materially assisted in Labour organisation in many lands.

The fact that Mr. Mann has been the subject of considerable criticism by the Australian press and politicians will probably give zest to a perusal of his views calmly and deliberately expressed, but which, I believe, in all essentials, are the same as the addresses he has delivered throughout all the Australasian States.

As an Australian I am very glad that it has happened to us to have so lengthy a visit from the author, and it is good for us that he should have stated his case so fully in this pamphlet, so that readers may keep it by them for reference, with the knowledge that it is not a hashed account of a lecture, but the direct result of the author’s own hand, so that readers will know the real nature of the case.
I bespeak a wide circulation for the pamphlet amongst all sections. Those who have been told that Socialists are essentially anti-religious will find this is not by any means the case when they have read this little work. Those who have wondered what Continental Socialism really is, and wherein it differs from the Labour Movement of Australia, will find this dealt with in an exhaustive manner, leaving no room for doubt as to the principles and policy endorsed by the organised workers of the principal countries of the world. Those who are anxious to oppose Socialism should be able to do so the more effectively when they know exactly what Socialism is, as officially declared by the chief Socialist organisations.

Even the farmers will read the pamphlet with interest and profit, and I think they will look in vain to find any madcap policy calculated to scare any sensible person. My recent visit to the East impressed upon me the necessity for a study of these social subjects in the most comprehensive way. Nothing but good can come of the acquisition of knowledge; it is not knowledge but ignorance that is harmful. I hope the Labour Party will do their utmost to circulate the pamphlet as part of their educational campaign, and I doubt not but that the results will be entirely satisfactory.

E. FINDLEY.
to say. A sensible man is not anxious that any particular "ism" shall prevail, he is only anxious that the Right conditions shall obtain.

HOW TO JUDGE OF WHAT IS RIGHT,
will be dealt with later on.

A searching investigation into fundamental basic principles is at all times to be encouraged. If Socialism disappears in consequence of such investigation, it will be because it ought to disappear and make room for something that will bear the searchlight of scientific analysis.

It will give a stimulus to study if one reflects upon the astounding development of the study of Sociology during recent years. Not only is it true that in every country are to be found educated persons systematically dealing with the subject but the science is rapidly taking front place in many educational establishments. The professors at the universities are amongst the most ardent disciples of this science of human life, involving as it does a knowledge of ethics, politics, and economics. Not one State or Nation can be named which has not a definitely organised body for the furtherance of the objects of Social Democracy, this latter term being quite as freely used as Socialism, but considered by many to be more specific and definite. It is the term used by the Germans, who found it necessary to distinguish between the State Socialism of Bismarck and the Democratic Socialism of the People.

The Social Problem which it is the object of Social Reformers to solve, is many sided, and may be expressed in a variety of ways. But there is no difficulty in grasping the main essentials. Briefly put, it may be said that to study the Social Problem is to study the causes of poverty and the effects of poverty, but if there is no desire to get rid of poverty with a view to establishing conditions of general well-being, there can be no recognition of a Social Problem. Immediately one reflects upon the subject, one is confronted with this remarkable fact, that the power of the people of to-day to produce wealth is many times greater than at any previous period in the known history of the World: at the same time, poverty is so prevalent and terribly acute, that every week that passes, many

THOUSANDS OF PERSONS DIE
because of insufficient nourishment. Another notable fact is, that those who suffer so acutely are not merely those who are careless, indifferent, or idle, but equally those who are and have ever been most careful, sober, and industrious.

Further, it is found that Republican and Monarchical Countries suffer alike, that Free Trade and Protectionist Countries have exactly the same characteristics, that Countries have exactly the same characteristics, that the Tory Government or a Liberal Government has a whether a Tory Government or a Liberal Government has a comparatively long innings in any given country, the results of the workers standard of life, though it may, and sometimes does (especially in America) result in a re-shuffling among civil servants, changing the particular persons that will fill certain official positions, but in no way changing for the better the conditions of the toiling masses.

The enquirer soon notices the talk indulged in by certain sections in practically every nation, as to the exceptionally good conditions that prevail in that Nation: as a literal fact there is no State or Nation existing under conditions that will secure the means of a bare livelihood to all its people, no matter how willing and able they may be to work if they get the opportunity.

The student will discover, that in countries with a relatively sparse population like Australia, it is held that what is needed is more people, in a relatively densely populated country like Britain, it is said the cure will be found in emigration, or by getting rid of the people. In France, where density of population is much lighter than that of Britain and much heavier than that of Australia, but where the proportion in want is just about the same as in both countries named, they are told it is the competition of Italy that keeps wages low, yet France is the competition of Italy that keeps wages high, yet France is a Protectionist Nation. In Germany and Belgium they are told it is the competition of England that necessitates such arduous toil for so little in return, and yet the difference in the standard of life, such as it is, is higher in England than the other countries named.

In the United Kingdom again the workers are frequently told that they spend

AN UNWARRANTABLE AMOUNT ON ALCOHOLIC LIQUORS.

Possibly they would be gainers if they spent less in this direction, but they consume much less alcohol than the French, and several other Nations who are not classed as excessive drinkers. (See Appendix I.)

Thus in the Non-Socialist ranks, there is the utmost confusion as to the causes producing poverty, and equally great confusion as to proposed remedies.
Consider then a few of these facts. We all know there is poverty in every country, and all well intentioned men are deeply sorry for this. It is known that Food, Clothes, Shelter, and all other commodities are the direct product of labor, spent upon the raw material provided by Nature. It is also known that there is an abundance of raw material to adequately supply the wants of the whole world without any concern, and it is further known, that the power of men to produce the requirements of life from this raw material is very much greater than ever before, and yet in every country people die of starvation. It is also known that the raw material, e.g. the Land and Minerals, are constantly available. It is further known that the people require to be fed and clothed and otherwise provided for constantly, and it is still further known that Land, Minerals and Machinery are lying idle, and hundreds of thousands of men are in enforced idleness, such incoherences would be unbelievable if we were not in daily contact with the facts. Is there a solution to these intricacies? Let no one conclude there is not till he understands what there is to be said. Let no one conclude he is here asked to discard all other proposals and give attention only to Socialist proposals. On the contrary, let each do his best to refrain from endorsing Socialism, but resolve that he will examine every proposal worthy of attention, and give to each what is due, but if he finds it necessary he will endorse and work for Socialism or any other "ism" that satisfies his reason is equal to the requirements of the case. Not the backing up of an "ism" or "ology" but THE ABOLITION OF POVERTY and securing good conditions for all without exception, should be our guiding principle.

It may be said it is very well to tell us to endorse those principles and policies that will effect a solution of the Poverty Problem, but how is one to decide when there are so many advisers? All that can be said in reply to this is, a man must trust his thinking faculties, trust one's proposals without investigation, and honestly endeavour to gauge the nature of the proposal advanced. It may be taken for granted that those who are not willing to know the best and strongest that can be brought against a system are lacking in training or honesty.

A Socialist is one who, having investigated the causes of present day social discord, decides that these causes are found in the private ownership of the means of wealth production, and who therefore endorses the necessity for co-operative ownership in order to eliminate private or sectional monopoly, and secure the advantages for the whole people.

It is not an uncommon thing to find persons expressing sympathy with Socialism and Socialists, when all that is intended by them is, a kindly feeling towards those in poverty, but by no means do they endorse the Co-operative Ownership and control of the Land, Mines, Minerals, Machinery and Agencies of Transit, without which no one can be a Socialist.

By Co-operative ownership is meant ownership by the whole people, i.e. the Raw Material and Machinery of Production to become the property of the public, and industry to be regulated by experts in the common interest, and the reward for work done to be according to the amount performed. Under National Co-operation or Socialism, (all of which mean the same), working hours would be regulated according to the amount of work to be done, and the number of people to do it, probably work fewer hours than those in the more agreeable occupations.

Once again then,

SOCIAL DEMOCRACY, OR SOCIALISM,

involves the transference from present day private ownership to National Ownership of all those agencies of wealth production, necessary for the supply of life's necessities for the whole people. The root basis of this is found in the fact that private ownership of the means of wealth production fails most lamentably to provide all the people with the commodities of life. Let that fact never be forgotten, private enterprise utterly fails at the present time to conduct trading operations in such fashion as to admit of honest and earnest-minded men and women obtaining for themselves a sufficiency of the necessities of life, and in addition there are children by the million who are never in addition there are children by the million who are never in addition there are children by the million who are never in addition there are children by the million who are never...
ists who own the Machinery and Ships and Railway appliances, and by the investing owners of capital, is purely to a Capitalistic Competitive System aiming at making further the public welfare.

This is not saying that Capitalists are badly disposed towards the Community, they may be, as undoubtedly many of them are, perfectly honest and well disposed towards all according to Capitalistic Morality, i.e., according to what is considered could be part of the present system and behave much other than as at present. But watch the effects! Capitalists own and control industrial establishments in every manufacturing country, and the means of obtaining trade is by competing in the world's market against all other Capitalists in the same trade also seeking a share in the market; to compete effectively, they must place the commodity on the order to do this they must ever have regard to cheapening the cost of production, and the bed-rock policy pursued in commodity, and also in the purchase of labor force, to purchase as cheaply as possible and sell as dearly as possible. Therefore they keep wages down to the lowest possible margin, employer will necessarily be ever trying to reduce the wages make that difficult, the one is the organised power of the workers to resist encroachments of the kind, and the other are really the cheapest producers, but what the Capitalist ever aims at is to pay as wages of the lowest proportion possible of the total product of the Factory. In short, as Karl Marx plus value, i.e., that the largest possible amount of the total profits, and therefore that the least possible should be absorbed by the establishment.

It necessarily follows that each group of Capitalists is continually on the look-out to save wages, and therefore every LABOR SAVING WHICH IS REALLY WAGES SAVING MACHINERY is made use of, and the result is that there is a constantly diminishing proportion of the total produce of labor going in the form of wages to those who perform the labor, and a constantly increasing proportion of the total output going as profits to the Capitalist. Not a trade can be named but confirms this contention. That is not the worst phase of the matter. It will be seen that with the ever increased power to produce commodities the market is stocked with increasing ease, and by men who have been engaged in producing serviceable commodities producing so very much more than they receive in wages and therefore more than they consume, the markets are glutted, and these men are thrown into the ranks of the unemployed, not because they have failed to work effectively, but because they have produced so abundantly and consumed so little of it, they are therefore discharged and prevented from getting even a sufficiency upon which to live. This is the direct effect of private ownership of the means of production for the purpose of making profit for the Capitalists, instead of working Co-operatively in the common or public interest.

Let the anti-Socialist think over the above statement and meet it if he can. We Socialists declare that the whole world bears witness to the truth of the statement as to the effects of production for profit for Capitalists, and that being so we declare the present system stands condemned.

It will be seen that the very same means whereby manufacturing and commercial success is achieved, are also the same means that carry degradation to the workers and there is no possible escape from this so long as private enterprise dominates the industrial system by which all must live.

Thus the argument so frequently used by the opponents of Socialism, that private ownership and control are the only means whereby a stimulus can be obtained, or an incentive to action provided, has no possible chance of operating excepting upon a trifling minority of the population. On the present basis the sooner the markets are glutted the sooner will the workers be unemployed. The more the workers contribute towards facilitating production the smaller will be the proportion of their total number in employment; where then does the stimulus come in to encourage the highest possible?

A MORE GROSSLY UNFAIR SYSTEM THAN THE PRESENT COULD NOT BE DEVISED.

It is commonly said by opponents that under Socialism we should all become Slaves of the State. It is well to ask what amount of freedom the worker enjoys to-day. A small percentage of wage receivers are doubtless in receipt of a relatively high wage; these are quite necessary to the Capitalists to
ensure the successful whipping up of theirfellows, and are found in the most highly developed form in the United States receivers; quite ninety per cent. are ever under the direct of being out of work, including the freedom of walking about back home to enjoy the comforting sight of a starvations to-day, the freedom can be witnessed in most countries are one and a half millions of men unemployed, "ten millions of our citizens are in abject poverty and forty millions more are in fear of poverty." See Wilshires Magazine, May 1905. East 23rd St., New York.

In England, unemployed marches, Demonstrations and Conferences have at last resulted in a Bill being introduced in the House of Commons by the Government purporting to deal with the subject. The "Daily News" (London) just to hand, gives a graphic description of bootmakers on the march from Northamptonshire to London "led by a cripple on crutches, behind whom walks the band, with a half dozen much worn and battered instruments," the number of bona fide unemployed in the U.K. at the present time is estimated by Mr. Keir Hardie, M.P., at seven hundred and fifty thousand, and this is supported by trade union statistics.

In Sydney a few days ago a procession of the unemployed was marching toward Parliament House when the Police interfered, and broke up the procession. In the Melbourne Herald of June 14th, is the following statement. "There is, we regret to say, GREAT DISTRESS AMONGST THE FAMILIES OF WORKLESS MEN.

in this city, a sum of £10 was sent to us for distribution. It is sad to have to report that the claimants for participation are so numerous that in no case has it been thought desirable to give more than five shillings, and the greater part of the money is going in mere bread-and-butter doles of half-crown per family. The would-be bread winners protest that it is work they want not charity." I personally can vouch for the truth of these statements as I have attended many of the meetings of the unemployed, I know a number of them personally, and accompanied a deputation of them to the Hon. John Murray, Minister of Lands. Such being the conditions, it would be a waste of time to further dwell upon the inade-
cuts thereto and frequently get squashed in their precocious attempts.

This applies to those who try to lift themselves out of the maelstrom of Capitalist influence when every department and institution controlling all that is best in the world is under the blustering influence and ownership of the Capitalist Class. When Capitalist Politicians or the Capitalist press scioniously urge the advisability of Socialists commencing operations on a Socialist basis in a Capitalist State, it is about as much to the point as though the Co-operative owners of a few tugboats wishful for freedom to roam the North Seas as they pleased were told by the British Admiralty that they were at liberty to do so, with the knowledge that in the British Fleet the Admiralty could at any hour not only hem them in but sink them immediately if they desired, by their monopolistic control of the only effective fighting forces.

Some seek to attach importance to the attempt made to establish what was termed

"NEW AUSTRALIA," IN PARAGUAY ON A COMMUNIST BASIS.

As is well known the prime mover in this was William Lane, and a splendid fellow he was, exceptionally well informed, very capable within his own limits, whole-souled and honest hearted, he tired terrorily of the Maritime Strike of 1890, and the Shears' great struggle in Queensland in the following year. Further, William Lane had as comrades some dozen men of much more than average ability and devotion, all of whom appear to have joined systematically in the discussion of the New Australian project, but scarcely one of whom shared in Lane's sanguine belief as to the wisdom of the project; Lane being strong of will, appealed to whomsoever would to join the expedition, and of those who left Australia for South America, not five per cent. were communists or Socialists, some of them had no knowledge of the main principles or belief in any ideal other than "getting on," the result was forseen, and predicted by Lane's best Socialist friends who have been and are still battling away in the movement in Queensland.

Even so, much success was met with, and the project was bright, allowing for the inevitable disadvantages all such projects must be subjected to, till owing to difference of opinion perfectly natural and to be expected, one portion decided to leave the "New Australian" Settlement and to establish another, with little to work with, at Cosme; and still much success attended the efforts of the Cosmeites, until William Lane himself decided to abandon the attempt apparently disheartened at the lack of Socialist knowledge and spirit manifested, and even then it continued, though with varying success, and William Lane's brother Ernest has now returned to Queensland, pretty good evidence that there is not much to be expected from Cosme.

On the other hand several attempts of a similar character in the United States have proved permanently successful, and the religious body known as the Shakers, has been able for generations past to completely abolish poverty and to organise industry in an absolutely successful fashion for themselves.

As to the attempts at anything in the nature of

CO-OPERATIVE OR COMMUNIST COLONIES OR SETTLEMENTS. UNDER THE AUSPICES OF CAPITALISTIC GOVERNMENTS.

nearly all such attempts have been made as part of a panic-stricken policy when the pressure of the unemployed has been unusually severe; and not infrequently those in authority have deliberately aimed at discrediting any attempt at a Socialist experiment. Thus in France in 1848, after Louis Blanc had repeatedly urged the establishment of National Workshops and the organisation of the unemployed, when the crisis was reached the Ministry in power declared they would act upon the suggestions of Louis Blanc and try to guard against further difficulties, and immediately when they gave the authorisation for the National Workshops, they appointed as General Director, Emile Thomas, a chemist who had been, and still remained, a bitter opponent of Blanc's principles and proposals. Blanc immediately protested and declared nothing but failure would result, and such was the case deliberately arranged for by the authorities. So with the South Australian settlements, when men with only the usual ideas of commercialism are placed under conditions calling for the exhibition of qualities they have never understood or at any rate never thoroughly appreciated, in the nature of things, failure must result.

Therefore it is that Socialists generally discourage isolated socialist settlements, surrounded by Capitalist influences and Monopoles, and always subject to the machinations of the astute Plutocracy. But this by no means condemns the principle of the State organisation of the unemployed, on the contrary, now that some amount of democratic influence is being exerted in the respective Legislatures, a manifestation of its usefulness should be looked for in this direction.
Another of the fallacies that pervades the ordinary mind is, that what is wanted above all things is an addition to trade. The Free Trader and Protectionist alike advocate their respective views on the plea that if their views are acted upon, an addition to the volume of trade will be the result and therefore all will be well.

**WORK FOR UNEMPLOYED, HIGH WAGES, SHORT HOURS AND GENERAL PROSPERITY.**

And yet every country claiming to be civilised is continually adding to its volume of trade. As this phase of the subject appears difficult for many to grasp, it becomes necessary to deal with it at sufficient length. By way of enabling this to be understood I will take the case of the United Kingdom, where it is known that many suffer from unemployment, from low wages, from inadequate housing &c., and then come the proposals for increasing trade to get rid of these hardships, and yet the trade of that country has been constantly increasing for the past three generations. In fixing upon the United Kingdom for the purposes of illustration I do so because most Australians are more familiar with and interested in that country than in either of the others, and also because the Australian press, and politicians and many workmen habitually refer to the poverty that exists there and proceed to argue that something should be done to check the decline of British Trade. It should then help in learning a useful lesson when it is known that there is no decline in the total volume of trade nor yet in any of the staple industries, but a very considerable increase. There has been in recent years a greater aggregate output and greater output per capita.

Thus a London Magazine, "The Social Democrat," for January, 1905, says: "So far as statistics of imports and exports are evidence of national well-being, ours is steadily on the increase. The value of goods imported during last month was £52,800,000 as compared with £52,300,000 in the corresponding month of the previous year, and £48,200,000 in December, 1902. For the twelve months ending last December, the imports amounted to £551,400,000 as compared with £542,600,000 in 1902. The exports against £39,400,000 in December of the previous year, and £29,600,000 in December 1902. The total exports for the last twelve months amounted to £377,100,000 as compared with £360,400,000 in the previous year, and £340,200,000 in 1902." These are the figures issued officially by the British Board of Trade.

Who has not discussed BRITISH ENGINEERING AND SHIPBUILDING DURING RECENT YEARS, and who has not shared in the view that British Trade was seriously declining and hence the unemployed?

Therefore it will be interesting to learn the facts. The Board of Trade figures show that the total exports of machinery and millwork were £21,082,502 for 1904 as compared with £20,058,206 for 1903, and £18,754,815 for 1902. Commenting on this says Mr. Geo. N. Barnes, General Secretary of the Amalgamated Society of Engineers in his Annual Report: "These figures form a strange and strong commentary on the lamentations which have been made about alleged loss of foreign trade, and they have also as strange and strong a bearing in connection with the question of the unemployed, the number of whom have been increasing concurrently with this increase of orders from abroad."

IN SHIPBUILDING "there was a decrease in the tonnage launched from 2,536,731 tons in the year 1903, to 2,422,941 last year. Of the total tonnage launched 1,332,337 tons were from British yards, and 1,090,604 from yards other than British, the decreased output from British having been 10,171 tons, and from other yards 103,619 tons, from which it appears that British Builders more than held their own during the year."

Those who have been commenting so strongly upon the serious inroads made into British Trade will probably find it a little difficult to reconcile matters; it is worth while in this regard to remember that Britain's total population is 42,000,000, out of a world's population of 1,700,000,000 and yet Britain builds and engines many more vessels than the rest of the whole world besides.

Ah! yes, say many, but it is in electrical engineering where Britain is losing ground. Is it? Judge by the following.—

At the last meeting of the British Association, Professor Walthier Lotz read a paper before the Economic Section. This was published in the Manchester Guardian in which "a statement of the position of 59 GERMAN ENGINEERING FIRMS FOR THE YEAR 1904 is given, and it is found that not a single one of them made a profit, but on the contrary some of them show immense losses, amounting in some cases to over £50,000 sterling..."
and many of them had paid no dividend for two and three years.” For the British position the engineering supplement of the Times (London) Newspaper supplies the 1905 of the Amalgamated Engineers Journal. Sir Chas. McLaren, head of the Palmer Shipbuilding and Iron Company, John Brown and Company, and other industrial enterprises, says that: “In machinery, engine building, and first-class railway material, competition has, says he, “done us little harm,” and, as a rule, work has gone to other countries from British and Indian railways only when our own shops have been full; and he further says that “high profits are in many cases made from year to year, salaries are paid to managers and officials far above anything which can be earned by way of fixed emoluments in any other profession;” “Re Electrical Engineering” another Times Supplement writer says, “that the total capital for the United Kingdom “in this industry” was £61,109,525 in 1896, and at the end of 1904, £266,926,270, an increase of over 400 per cent.”

The object aimed at in giving these figures, all of which are from absolutely reliable sources, is not to indulge in the nonsensical arguments that the British are better off. To show the utter unsoundness of the arguments of those who would account for the depression of a large portion of British workers by falsely stating due to a falling off of trade.

In order to complete the argument it will be well to give the results of the labor of the people of that same country as estimated not by Socialists only, but by the recognised Statisticians of the Plutocracy. Thus,

ON THE AUTHORITY OF SIR ROBERT GIFFEN

fifteen years ago the National annual production of wealth in the United Kingdom equaled £1,250,000,000 (twelve hundred and fifty millions of pounds), and nearly one half of this or £625,000,000 (six hundred and fifty millions of pounds) went to those who produced it all, brain and manual workers included, salaried persons, wage receivers and that portion classed as profits which was really the wages of superintendence, leaving more than one half of the total or £650,000,000, (six hundred and fifty millions) to go to the receivers of Rent, Interest, and Profit, for which no service was rendered to the community, therefore the producers received less than one half of the value produced, and the Capitalist Plutocracy really exploited the actual producers of more than one pound out of every two pounds of value produced. At that time the population of the U.K. numbered 36 millions, in 1904 the population had increased to 42 millions and the wealth produced equaled £1,750,000,000 (seventeen hundred and fifty millions) and an increase of no less than £500,000,000 (five hundred millions) but only a very small portion of this extra amount found its way to the mental and manual workers who produced it all. Their share was £750,000,000 (seven hundred and fifty millions) whilst the non-working exploiting Plutocracy, received £1,000,000,000 (1,000 millions) for doing nothing. These are the nuts for the Anti-Socialists to crack. What is the good of talking about the poverty of the British or Irish people who produced such facts before us how puny is the talk of the fiscal adjusters when they declaim about the poverty of the old country!

To leave no loophole of escape for opponents, and to further buttress the position it will be helpful to give the actual wealth per head of the various countries of the world; not the value of the annual product, but the existing accumulated wealth. This is made an easy matter, as Mr. W. McLean the Government Statist of Victoria has himself compiled the statistics of the world, and has issued the same in the Victoria Year Book, which has been issued 1905 in an article entitled “Accumulation.”

Private wealth of Principal Countries of the world per inhabitant:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New South Wales</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Australia</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden &amp; Norway</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danubian States</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Can it be plainer shown that the root cause of poverty in the U.K. is not lack of production but faulty distribution? This is and is exactly the same cause in Australia. When this is high production is of course desirable, and will work; but high production is not socialism, but no matter by what percentage the volume of trade increases under a Capitalist regime, poverty can never be extirpated thereby.
Opponents of Socialism often declare that there are so many definitions of it that it is well nigh impossible to know exactly what Socialists are after. To do a little towards removing this ground of complaint I will give definitions of a sufficiently general and precise character that no reader of this pamphlet shall be able to say they cannot tell what Socialism is. First, Socialism does not seek to destroy individuality, but to make it possible for each person to develop his or her faculties up to the highest possible pitch of perfection.

Socialism does not seek to destroy but to build up, to build fine cities, in which shall be the most magnificent edifices the mind of man can conceive, where every building whether for public or private use shall be architecturally beautiful.

SOCIALISM DOES NOT AIM AT MAKING ANY THE SLAVES OF GOVERNMENTS,

but to gradually and surely get rid of all Governments other than the self-Government of free and intelligent citizens.

Socialism does not aim at robbing the rich but at preventing the rich from continuing to rob the poor.

Socialism does not favour or tolerate promiscuity between the sexes, but sternly declares in favour of Monogamy.

Socialism does not enjoin upon its adherents the acceptance of Atheistic principles but leaves all perfectly free to enjoy whatsoever religious belief commends itself to them.

In defining Socialism it is necessary to guard against that which is Socialistic in tendency, and Socialism of a full fledged character. Socialism is the recognition and adoption of the principle and practice of Association as against isolation, of Co-operation as against Competition, of concerted action in the interests of all, instead of “each for himself and the devil take the hindmost.” Socialism saddles upon each of us the responsibility of being our “brother’s keeper.” If a child, woman or man is starving, Socialism says there is something wrong in our social system, and upon us all individually and collectively rests the responsibility of righting the wrong. If one street or a dozen streets contain one slum dwelling or a number of such, Socialism says to each of us jointly and severally, “crime exists somewhere or no slum would exist, see to it quickly, root it out, raze the slum to the ground and let air and sunshine operate.” If men are overworked and so prevented from fully sharing in the joys of life, Socialism bids us to immediately remove the causes of overwork and see to it that every man and every woman shall have a fair share of all that makes life worth living.

All this is true, but it is not true that Socialism can be summed up as a mere tendency. There can be no real Socialism where exploitation obtains, under Socialism no person can live idly upon the labor of others by receiving unearned income in the forms of interest, profit or rent. Therefore

SOCIALISM MEANS THE COMPLETE SUPERCESSION OF THE PRESENT CAPITALIST SYSTEM

of private ownership and control of Land, Machinery, and Money, necessary for reproductive purposes.

Therefore those who do not believe in the necessity for and the justice of the Nationalisation of the means of production should not call themselves Socialists. It is not fair to the Socialist movement, and sooner or later it will land the person who vaguely covers himself by an unwarrantable term in a serious difficulty.

Above all I would ask that no one shall consider it necessary to patronise Socialists or Socialism, whilst not believing in the principles. We Socialists are well used to buffeting our way in the World, and much prefer to stand or fall by our bedrock principles. With us there is no whistling down or begging for a hand. We stand and fight for the principles. With us there is no striving for a party that we are “not as black as we are painted.” Word painting at our expense, or for our decoration, perturbs us very little, the fight has been fought now for many years and it will be carried on by us with increasing vigour; and to be “tolerated” is against our grain, believe and work with us, or fight us, that is our attitude.

To understand the Socialist position one must have some root grasp of morals. For our purpose at the moment it will suffice to say, that right conduct or morality means, proper relations between ourselves and others, i.e. behaviour of a helpful and useful character. Under no set of circumstances must one take an advantage of one’s fellows; fair play between each and all, universal honesty, and right conduct not for one day a week but for every day of every week, is essential. Therefore to forcibly take from another that which is his, is a violation of right conduct, and equally so, if by making use of circumstances that place another in our power we may deprive another of his rightful opportunities to develop along equally good lines with the rest of his fellows. So, when one section of men exercises a monopoly power over the agencies whereby other men must obtain the means of life, and

THE MONOPOLISTS REFUSE ACCESS TO THESE AGENCIES

by those who must get them or die, and the Mono-
polists stipulate, or in any case exact, that the users of these agencies shall pay to them one half of the value produced. This is a gross violation of morals.

**True Morality forbids exploitation, the present individualist system of conducting industry necessitates exploitation, and therefore is immoral. The results of this immoral system are found in a minority of persons receiving large incomes without working, and many persons deprived of the opportunity of a livelihood.**

We therefore declare that the present Capitalist system is based upon the legalised robbery of the wealth producers by the Land Monopolists, Machinery Monopolists and Financial Monopolists, and the undoubted object of Socialism is to get rid of these Monopolists as speedily as possible.

All Socialists of every country agree with the statement made. There are differences of opinion as to the particular kind of action, political and economic, that shall receive immediate attention, and there are some who would not use Parliamentary action at all for the realisation of the object, but none would disagree with that object as stated.

Exactly when we may expect to see this object realised, and what particular month or year we shall celebrate the realisation of a Socialist State it would be rather premature to speculate upon, and some who claim to be Socialists declare that the transition to Socialism will be so gradual as to be imperceptible, and that there will never come a day when we shall be able to say,

**NOW WE HAVE A SOCIALIST STATE.**

"To such cautious souls I reply that although there is much truth in their contention that the process will be gradual, we shall be able to say that we have a Socialist State on the day on which no man or group of men holds, over the means of production, property rights by which the labor of the producers can be subjected to exploitation." Hubert Bland in Fabian Essays, p. 246. 1889.

But how if Socialist aims are not in accord with true political-economic development? Why then those aims will never be realised. None knows and admits that so clearly as Socialists themselves. In the early days of Socialist advocacy the orthodox political economists pretended to shout as unscientific the contentions of the Socialists; they declared that nature had stipulated we must for ever fight each other on the basis of "the struggle for existence— the remorseless extirpation of the weak—the survival of the fittest—in short, natural selection at work. Socialism seemed too good to be true: it was passed by as merely the old optimism foolishly running its head against the stone wall of modern science. But Socialism now challenges individualism, scepticism, pessimism, worship of nature personified as a devil, on their own ground of science. The science of the production and distribution of wealth is Political Economy. Socialism appeals to that science, and turning on Individualism its own guns, routs it in incurable disaster. Henceforth the bitter cry of who still finds the world an eternal and unimprovable doghole, with the placid person of means who repeats the familiar misquotation, "the poor ye shall have always with you," lose their usurped place among the ignorant, the shallow and the superstitious." George Bernard Shaw, in Fabian Essays, p. 28.

Students of political economy are encouraged to keep to their studies, the only danger lies in a lack of study, John Stuart Mill is often quoted by the Individualists as a bulwark of strength, they conveniently forget that Mill became a convert to Socialism and in his Autobiography declares that, "The Social Problem of the future we considered to be, how to unite the greatest individual liberty of action, with a common ownership in the raw material of the globe, and an equal participation for all in the benefits of combined labour."

There the whole

**SOCIALIST POSITION IS FULLY CONCEIVED AND AVOWED.**

and the individualists' champion takes his place on the side of the Collectivists.

If it is asked, "Do Socialists intend to deprive the present monopolists of their Monopolies without compensation? The reply is that that entirely depends upon the Monopolists themselves. If they are wise and recognise the forces rapidly gathering strength and reasonably facilitate the necessary changes on peaceful lines, the community will without doubt allow and accord generous compensation, but if on the other hand they behave rebelliously, and refuse to come to reasonable terms, the community will stand none of their foolishness, and they will be placed under restraint until they come to changed order.
It suits the present purpose of the rich and their backers to express terror at the prospect of being despoiled of their property. The object aimed at by them is to divert attention from the actual robbery systematically conducted by them. This robbing the poor because he is poor is especially the mercantile form of theft, consisting in taking advantage of a man's necessities, in order to obtain his labour or property at a reduced price. The ordinary highwayman's opposite form of robbing—of the rich, because he is rich—does not appear to occur so often to the old merchants mind; probably because, being less profitable and more dangerous than the robbery of the poor, it is rarely practised by persons of discretion." John Ruskin, in "Unto This Last," and again in the same volume says Ruskin. "So that, the art of becoming 'rich' in the common sense, is not absolutely nor finally the art of accumulating much money for ourselves, but also of contriving that our neighbours shall have less. In accurate terms, it is the art of establishing the maximum inequality in our own favour." Chap. On "The Views of Wealth," p. 46.

And still again says the grand old Teacher, John Ruskin in same volume. "So far am I from invalidating the security of property, that the whole gist of my contention will be found to aim at an extinction in its range, and whereas it has long been known and declared that the poor have no right to the property of the rich, I wish it also to be known and declared that the rich have no right to the property of the poor."

The same lesson is emphasised by Thomas Carlyle when he urges the workers to always remember the injunction, "Thou shalt not steal." but never to forget its corollary, "Thou shalt not be stolen from."

Socialism stands for the Abolition of Robbery and the Abolition of Poverty. The opponents of Socialism seek to defend the effete individualism now rapidly tottering to its fall, it has served its purpose and nature herself is rapidly clearing it out of the road.

Could evidence be plainer of the strong trend of modern development from private ownership of productive agencies, as is to be found in the remarkable growth of municipal enterprise, so evident in every country in Europe and America, and beginning to show itself in Australia? The means of transit, railways, trams, busses, cabs, ferry boats, were in the hands of private Monopolists, during the last fifteen years the bulk of these have become the common property of the people with enormous advantage to the entire body of citizens. So, with regard to water, gas, and electric light and power supply, these were privately owned and controlled, but private ownership proved a ghastly failure in comparison to collective ownership by the people as a whole, managed through and by the democratically elected public authorities.

All the principal cities of the world either already have or are now engaged in establishing industries that were formerly entrusted to private enterprise. Public ownership and public administration have proved to be measurably superior to private ownership on private profit making lines. The Capitalists of the world know this, and fear the effects to themselves as privileged Monopolists, when the Government Machinery of the States is used to transfer the larger industries under the ownership and control of the common people. In municipalisation is to be seen

THE ACTUAL APPLICATION OF THE SOCIALIST PRINCIPLE.

although it is wholly impossible for more than a little of the advantages to come to the people as yet, whilst all the Financial Institutions are owned and controlled by private Monopolists, as it necessitates that the people shall borrow back at a high rate of interest a portion of the capital they have themselves created, in order to apply the principle of Public ownership. Further, the reactionary Politicians in every Parliament, variously named as Tories, Liberals, Republicans, Democrats and Fiscal Reformers, have done their utmost to check municipal enterprise, but the natural evolution of any forces have swept them aside and the achievements of the people's duly-appointed experts have accomplished results wholly impossible to private profit hunters.

Take the writings on Municipalization of Dr. Shaw of America, take the achievements of the French, the Germans, the British, through and by Municipal ownership, and the advantages are so tangible, so real and thorough, that great as the drawback is of private Monopoly in Finance, not one State or Nation thinks of going back to private enterprise, but in every case without a single exception, the order is to extend public ownership, and get rid of the incompetence of private monopoly. Already we have passed the stage of experiment, the principle is definitely established, and those who are vainly endeavouring to checkmate the growth of Socialism, would save themselves from humiliating ridicule if they dropped their futile attempts at 'bogey' raising. Whilst they are declaring that all attempts at
Socialism have failed, all civilised countries which have sampled collective ownership and control for the public good, and condemned the results with private ownership and control for demanding further extensions thereof.

Much public attention has been occupied by the critics of the Australian Labor Parties, more particularly because New South Wales, Victoria, and Queensland, have definitely declared in favour of the Nationalization of the means of production, Distribution, and Exchange, as the ultimate object of the respective Parties. This one fact warrants us in declaring that Australia is perfectly safe for Socialism. During the past two years particularly,

THE CAPITALIST PRESS HAS BEEN EXCEPTIONALLY BITTER

in each of the States named, and every kind of Capitalist organization has been resorted to, to vilify the Socialist Movement and its advocates. The Plutocrats have now the reply of the workers and know how successful their efforts to thwart Socialist propaganda have been.

At the elections in South Australia a month ago, the fight was declared by the Capitalist faction to be Socialism or no Socialism, the reply was given by the electors, who instead of returning only six straight-out pledged Labor Candidates as in the previous Parliament, returned no less than fifteen out of a Legislative Assembly of 42.

The comrades throughout the whole of Australasia extend their fraternal greetings and hearty congratulations to the comrades of S.A. and particularly to those who fought so excellent a fight, not less to those that were not returned, than to those who were, and specially do we extend greetings and good wishes to the Parliamentary Labor Leader, Mr. Tom Price, whose duties will soon be of a heavy character, to him and to his Parliamentary Colleagues all good wishes for successful battings in and out of Parliament. The South Australian Labor successes are most encouraging and augur well what we may hope for and realise in the immediate future.

In Queensland, exactly one half of the Legislative Assembly has been returned on the straight Labor ticket, i.e. 36 in a Legislative Assembly of 72. Mr. Geo. Kerr, M.L.A. for Barcoo is the Parliamentary Leader of the Labor Party, and all admit him to be an absolutely honest and earnest man; but Mr. Kerr belongs to the canny old Northumberland stock, so many of whom are pronounced individualists in politics. Consequently Mr. Kerr as President of the Labor-in-Parliament Convention held in Queensland two months ago, not only did not favour, the inclusion of

SOCIALISM, AS THE DECLARED OBJECTIVE OF THE PARTY

but felt it his duty to oppose it. Mr. Kerr was within his right in so doing, as were also his four State Parliamentary Colleagues who voted with Mr. Kerr in the minority of ten: viz. Messrs. Burrows, Jackson, McDonnell and Turner. The voting on the Socialist Objective was, Ayes 28, Noes 10, a pretty clear indication of the direction the rank and file are taking.

It was very interesting to me to listen to an able and eloquent speech from Mr. Geo. Kerr, M.L.A., on the desirability of the Federal Labor Party, accepting the same objective as agreed upon in Queensland, delivered in Fitzgerald's Circus Buildings, Melbourne, on July 9th, on the occasion of a reception to the delegates to the Inter-State Conference, of whom Mr. Kerr was one.

It was encouraging to find such a clear pronouncement given, as since the Coalition Government a general flatness had characterised the Queensland Labor Party in and out of the House. Although having half the membership of the Lower Chamber returned on the straight out ticket, the Labor Party have been content with only two of their number occupying Cabinet positions. Messrs. Kidston, as Treasurer and Peter Airey as Home Secretary. The present Government is known as the Morgan-Labor Coalition Government. Differences of opinion exist as to whether it was wise for the Labor Party to enter into such an alliance or Coalition, but it was acquiesced in as the best way of getting the State Franchise broadened on to the basis of adult suffrage, instead of the old narrow multiple vote system that formerly obtained. This Franchise Act will become operative at the next State Election and the Labor Socialist successes will be commensurate therewith.

That there is urgent need for effective work on the part of the Labor Party every one will admit who knows the industrial and social conditions that obtain. In the Sugar growing trade 95 per cent. of the white population work eleven hour shifts. All the Sugar Mills and Refineries run 24 hours with only two shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week and shifts of men, and the wage averages about 25s. a week

At the meat works there is nothing in the nature of
an eight-hour day, nine, ten or more prevailing. The Butchers of Brisbane work seventy-two hours a week as in Victoria, where organisation has received more attention. The Banana Trade, a very extensive and profitable business, is exclusively in the hands of the Chinamen, not only for growing, but for dealing and marketing also. There is, indeed, plenty of work to engage the attention of the Political Labor Party, but if it is to be accomplished, industrial organisation must receive attention also. The Sea-going engineers are about the only ones effectively organised as far as my experience goes. Certainly the Engineers ashore are not; as a member of the AMALGAMATED SOCIETY OF ENGINEERS.

I carefully enquired how matters were, and learned as regards the Government Railway Shops at Ipswich, that 1,040 persons all told, 100 of whom are eligible for membership of the A.S.E., only eleven were members of that body, nor do they belong to any other industrial organisation. I was not surprised, therefore, to learn that the wages of these skilled mechanics are nine shillings and three halfpence per shift. The Miners of Gympie, Charters Towers, Mt. Morgan, Mt. Perry, and Chillagoe all stand in need of organisation. In every other State and Nation wherever political action on advanced lines is in the ascendant, industrial organisation is also on the increase.

It was my privilege to meet many excellent fellows at nearly every town I visited between Brisbane and Cairns, and the better they were the more they admitted the urgent need of organisation; so much so that I feel safe in predicting there will soon be a considerable change for the better in Queensland, and trade organisation and political action will travel together.

In New South Wales, Labor men have been particularly active during the past four years in the matter of industrial organisation. Five years ago there were not more than ten thousand trade unionists in the whole State, now there are 70,000, this is the result of the State Arbitration and Conciliation Act, the workers have organised so as to register under and receive the benefits of the Act, which in the vast majority of instances have been substantial in the matter of reduced working hours, and increased rates of pay. The workers representative has at all times proved faithfully alert and argumentatively ready, and after the three years expired, when reappointment was necessary, the organised workers vote.

Mr. M’Gowan, the Parliamentary Leader of the Labor Party, is at present actively engaged in combating the Plutocrats in power, and at the next election the Labor Party stands a fair chance of a majority.

THE WEST AUSTRALIAN LABOR PARTY came into power just a year ago when out of a total of 50 members, composing the Legislative Assembly, 22 were pledged Labor Men, being the strongest party in the House, the Parliamentary Leader of the Labor Party, Mr. Daglish, was sent for and he forthwith formed a Labor Ministry, himself becoming Premier. Whatever may be said as to the Socialistic value of what has taken place, beyond question it must be admitted that the last election in W.A. which returned these Labor Men represented the class struggle as it was understood, and both Capitalist facions, ex-premier James and his party particularly, did their utmost to maintain the Plutocratic dominance. If it be said W.A. Ministry that indicate unrest, but what will, there is the fact which nothing can ever wipe out. The defeated ex-premier who represented the bourgeoisie is now in London as premier who represented the bourgeoisie is now in London as Premier, appointed by the Labor Ministry that defeated Agent General, appointed by the Labor Ministry. A few more him and his Party at the polls and in Parliament. Such strides and what will become of the poor old Plutocracy? Really the outlook for the Monopolists is not a promising one; unless indeed their spirit of citizenship enables them to rise higher than their mere sectional interests.

At the Easter Conference of the Labor Party of Victoria, it was decided to definitely declare in favour of Socialism. All who joined the Labor Party will now know exactly what the ultimate join the Labor Party will now know exactly what the ultimate object aimed at is, and it is much better that it should be so than that the programme should be indefinite and liable to several interpretations. The growth of the Labor Party in the State during the past two years is unprecedented. At that time there were but fourteen Labor Men in a Legislative Assembly, there are now but ninety-five members, in the present House there are eighty members returned on the straight out Labor ticket, in a House of sixty-eight.

THE PARLIAMENTARY LEADER OF THE LABOR PARTY, Mr. G. M. PRENDERGAST, has the confidence and hearty support not only of the
Labor members but of the Labor Party throughout the whole State. Many of the Branches of the Political Labor Council are systematically engaged in educational and propagandist work. Nowhere in Australia is there more genuine effort put forth to spread sound economic knowledge, and to organise in a business like fashion. The return of straight out men for Ballarat, Bendigo, Geelong and Maryborough is a good indication of what to hope for next Federal Election. At present, Victoria does not return anything like a reasonable proportion of straight-out members to the Federal Parliament; New South Wales returns seven Representatives, Messrs. J. C. Watson, Watkins, Brown, Spence, Webster, Thomas and Hughes; Queensland returns seven Representatives, Messrs. Bamford, Page, Macdonald, Culpin, Thompson, Fisher and Wilkinson; West Australia four Labor Representatives, Messrs. Fowler, Mahon, Carpenter, and Fraser; South Australia three Representatives, Messrs. Batchelor, Poynton and Hutcheson; Victoria three, Messrs. Frank Tudor, Ronald and Dr. Maloney; Tasmania one, King O'Malley. To the Senate, the Queenslanders have returned five out of the six, Messrs. Higgs, Dawson, Stewart, Hurley and Givens; West Australia four, Messrs. Pierce, DeLargie, Croft and Henderson; South Australia, Messrs. McGregor, Guthrie and Storey; Tasmania one, Senator O'Keefe; and Victoria one, Senator Ed. Findlay.

Thus there is room for considerable improvement in Victoria yet, and every branch of the Political Labor Council should be preparing for the coming struggle, not merely holding meetings but giving systematic attention to their respective electorates, finding out weaknesses and remedying same. That the fight will be hot is certain, so much the better, that there will be electing ability on our opponents side is also a certainty, but all this can be overcome by that whole-souled devotion to a cause which those who belong to the Workers Party can show.

Tasmania has made a fair start with Labor men in the State House; the West Coast did exceptionally well in returning comrades G. N. Burns, Long, and Lammerton, and the workers are gaining ground in the small State as well as elsewhere.

It should be a valuable lesson to those who favour anything less than the clear declaration of Independence from all orthodox parties, no matter how kindly considerate they may be for a time, to find that

**THE INDUSTRIALLY ORGANISED WORKERS OF NEW ZEALAND**

are organising on purely independent political lines. Those who

have, as many Labor men have, held the view that New Zealand is in a satisfactory condition from a Labor standpoint, must indeed be content with very little if they know the facts. There is and always has been a dominant Plutocracy in New Zealand as well as elsewhere, but a few of them in the New Zealand Parliament were shrewd enough to get a little in advance of the ordinary Plutocrats and to pose as advanced Democrats; from the Socialist standpoint the conditions in New Zealand are far from satisfactory. When did any representative group in the New Zealand Parliament or out of the present, unless the Socialists putting a stop to exploitation? Never, except the Socialists. So it is satisfactory to learn that special efforts of an attempt has been trying to organise entirely apart from any of the orthodox politicians. The Arbitration Act has worked well, and is working well. The Factory Act is a little in advance of other countries; the Labor Act is, of course, the working people of the Commonwealth, and worthily, and the concerted organised action with their fellow workers of other countries; and this brings me to deal briefly with

**THE INTERNATIONAL CONGRESSES OF THE WORKERS.**

generally held triennially, with which it is not only desirable but urgently necessary that Australia should be properly related. Every student of Sociology who has obtained a correct idea of the historical development of humanity, through Slavery, Serfdom, and Wagedom or Capitalism, and who knows that however much patriots is held up as a virtue by Plutocratic Politicians and a Capitalist press, that Capitalism is absolutely international and recognises no frontiers or boundaries. The financial monopolists are ever seeking additional opportunities for investment, and they have no scruple whatsoever as to whether the people of any country of any given country are white, brown, yellow or black, providing only they see a chance to interest; they mind not at all as to what the relationship
of the various nations may be; they never allow such con-
siderations to interfere with business, that is, their own private
interests. The Capitalists of each country are in actual and
active sympathy with each other, and no racial antagonisms
prevent concerted action when necessary.

It requires but little education to show how urgently neces-
sary it is that the workers should be equally cosmopolitan.
Europeans, Americans and Australasians are all covered by
the same economic forces, are all subject to the same guiding
tyranny of the Plutocracy, and therefore their interests are
absolutely identical. The recognition of this fact has resulted
in the organised workers of the world who aim at the realiza-
tion of Socialism by constitutional action, holding Interna-
tional Congresses, as a rule triennially, though sometimes
they are four years apart. The last of these Congresses was
held in September of last year in Amsterdam, Holland, and
was attended by 482 delegates from twenty-one Nations.
The largest contingent was the British, consisting of 101, the French
coming next with 80 and the Germans next with 70 delegates.
Such numbers serve to indicate the importance attached to
these Congresses by the respective Nations. Australia had
one representative, Com. Thompson, of W.A.; but no proper
instructions were given him although he had credentials, as
the Labor parties of Australia had not themselves given atten-
tion to the matter.

Those individuals that have given the subject attention
well know that

SUBJECTS OF THE GREATEST MOMENT TO THE
RESPECTIVE PARTIES

of the various countries are discussed at these Congresses as
they can be under no other set of circumstances. The next
International Congress is fixed to be held in Stuttgart, Ger-
m any, in 1907. It is of the greatest importance that the Aus-
tralian Labor Party or Parties should be adequately repre-
sented at that Congress by delegates properly instructed by those
whom they are to represent.

The first step to be taken in connection with this matter is
the preparation of a comprehensive report of the social, political
and economic conditions in Australia, which after preparation
and endorsement should be printed in suitable form for pre-
sentation to the Congress delegates. It is desirable that all
such reports should be prepared and issued and supplied to
the secretary of the International Bureau several months be-
fore the Congress is held, that the same may be placed in the
proper hands early enough. There is therefore ample time to

take the matter in hand and deal with the same effectively.
Let us hope that the responsible persons will take due note of
this, and not allow time to slip by till it is too late.

RELIGION AND MARRIAGE.

In view of the criticisms passed upon Socialism recently,
it is necessary to remind all, that Socialists base their attitude
upon the scientific interpretation of History, and this applies
to all departments of knowledge, and serves as a guide for all
forms of conduct. Over against the attitude, until recently
strongly declared by all the churches that all truly devout
personsmust accept and believe the same, viz: that the
persons must accept and believe the same, viz: that the
World was brought into existence out of nothing 4,004 B.C.,
that man was then made perfect, but fell from his lofty con-
dition, and that all other animals were made at the same time, and
"Science teaches that
the heavens and all that therein is," Science teaches that
mankind did not begin existence 4004 B.C., but hundreds of
many ages even to develop the capacity to rise from all
fours and stand on two legs, and very many centuries after that
no before he was able to develop rationality to this to-day, and therefore
man of intelligence objects to this to-day, and therefore
the Church has accepted the inevitable and endorses what
the Church has accepted the inevitable and endorses what
Science has demonstrated that the earth and all the other
Science has demonstrated that the earth and all the other
planets of the Solar system were at one time in a gaseous form,
and in the course of myriads of centuries have cooled down
and in the course of myriads of centuries have cooled down
and the ocean has not set nor the earth nor the ocean has not set nor the earth nor
and everything that has ever dwelt upon the earth or
and everything that has ever dwelt upon the earth or
so and the theologians no longer oppose such well established
so and the theologians no longer oppose such well established
facts, but they did with all their might, and declared that
facts, but they did with all their might, and declared that
all who said otherwise were grossly irreligious. As though it were
all who said otherwise were grossly irreligious. As though it were
wicked wrong to develop the understanding, as though it were wicked
wicked wrong to develop the understanding, as though it were wicked
so gradually substitute intelligence for ignorance. Many
honest-minded men and women have been miserable beyond endurance because they wished to be truly religious, and yet found many things in connection with religion as they had been taught it, outrageously cruel, mean, and degrading. The degrading ceremonies of the ancient Jews as recorded in the Bible can easily be understood when it is remembered that they were only a semi-civilised people believing in the gross superstitions and resorting to the barbarous behaviour of semi-savages, gradually working their way from savagery and barbarism to civilised life, and of course like all other peoples learning by myriads of experiences how to develop the worthier side at the expense of the baser. So one can not only make allowance for their barbarities, but can see a strong confirmation of the evolutionary theory of human as well as all other development. Yet when Charles Darwin, the Biologist, in his epoch making works “The Origin of Species” and “The Descent of Man,” adduced evidence to prove the development of man from lower forms, he was vehemently assailed on all sides by the clericals, preached at, denounced, condemned, vilified, classed as atheist, &c. Darwin’s great crime consisting of contributing to the world’s knowledge, as the result of many years of devoted study. To-day, Darwin’s name and that of his co-workers, Professors Alfred Russell Wallace, Tyndall, Huxley, Lyell, &c., &c., stand revered the world over as master minds in their respective departments, but of course, as none taught more strongly than they, knowledge must be constantly added to as the result of constant study, with the ever-developing faculties of a growing humanity, and so, as knowledge is increased ideas and opinions change and always for the better, because always as the result of a fuller understanding of nature’s laws, including ourselves. This is what is meant by

THE SCIENTIFIC INTERPRETATION OF HISTORY,

cheerfully recognising the universal law of continuous progress, and the consequent moulding of conduct that this entails. This is the bed rock upon which all Scientific Socialists rest their case, and they need no other. Therefore one and Omniscient, can reverently dwell upon the marvellous work of the Omnipotent and Omniscient, can reverently dwell upon the ever unfolding mysteries of the universe, can rejoice that it is permitted to us to add wondrously to our stock of knowledge by such aids as the spectroscope, telescope, microscope, to be able to measure the distances of the celestial bodies, to gauge their size, to weigh their mass, to analyse their composition, to waft messages thousands of miles by wireless telegraphy, to tell of knowledge acquired and applied by man in his march towards a possible perfection. Moses did the best he could as a teacher and guide, and for his day doubtless he did exceeding well; but is humanity not to progress after Moses or any other teacher? And are those who teach ever to be denounced as sacrilegious and unworthy innovators? Yes, it would appear that it is so difficult for some of us to change opinions and bring ourselves into line with the true knowledge that being impelled to say something, we find it easier to condemn what we cannot understand and therefore we begin to denounce the more worthy. But this really does not matter, as the pioneers of knowledge know how hard it was for them at one time to become diligent students instead of being content with the conditions they were born in.

As in other departments of knowledge, so in Sociological affairs, or the affairs directly affecting human life. The student knows that the forms of human society have been such as were necessary for the particular kind of development at each stage of progress. Naturally some sections are mentally and morally in advance of their fellows, and experience the limitations and faultinesses of any given system prior to their fellows, and some of these advocate the desirability of a change, and the kind of change desired is always one that shall admit of humanity developing a few degrees more perfectly than the existing conditions allow of. So in passing through

THE TRIBAL STAGE, CHATTLE SLAVERY, AND SERFDOM.

and now in passing through Wagedom or Capitalism, it is found that all civilised society is relatively rapidly getting to think more and more of the community and less of self and one’s own family; i.e. not that they become disregard of the individual and the family, but manifest increasing concern for the welfare of each family and each individual by true concern for the community as a whole.

The Patriarchal Family was complete, with the Father, who was master and owner of each and all and everything. The persons and the chattels were his property. Humanity has travelled beyond the stage when that ownership of persons travelled beyond the stage when that ownership of persons in the patriarchal fashion can serve completely the requirements of modern life, and therefore we see the foundations of patriarchal family life in process of change. It is still the unit, but not anything like it was under the true patriarchal regime; not only was the woman not allowed to have a voice in worldly affairs, she was the slave of the man, to and a vote in worldly affairs, she was the slave of the man, to do his bidding unquestioningly, and the prevalent religious forms pronounced their blessing upon such relations. They
doubtless met the requirements of certain times, that such conditions do not suit modern necessities is known to every body; and so already, marriage has become a civil contract free and independent citizens, quite irrespective of the opinions so marked a departure, so contrary to the Patriarchal principle. In what direction there does not appear to be any general agreement, except for this, that all Socialists having made a special study of the causes that retard human development are strongly in favour of economic, or industrial and social freedom for women, the same as for men, by which is meant that all human beings, men and women alike, should be educated and trained, qualified and enabled to obtain all the essentials of a full, free and healthy life. Therefore the agitation on behalf of the Labour Parties, for

EQUAL PAY, FOR EQUAL WORK, FOR WOMEN AND MEN.

Women must not be condemned to an inferior standard of social well-being because they happen not to be married, and young women living at their own homes should not be encouraged to accept as wages a nominal sum altogether unequal to their adequate maintenance, if for similar work young men would be entitled to a fuller share. It is not kindness on the part of parents to take up the position that if the girls can get what will keep them in clothing &c., they may live at home and share with the family; to do this is to encourage the worst forms of sweating. It may be that workmen's families buy commodities at a lower price than would be possible if such young women did not work for less than half the proper remuneration, that in no way mitigates the evil, its married pretty early, the evil effects are not so pronounced in the case, a high percentage does not marry early, they are and which, if they were not treated as inferior beings would be theirs. I will not enter into a discussion or description of artificial sexual relations that arise in every country in connexion with any knowledge of existing conditions can express the glaring evils arising in consequence of the abnormal and sequence of this economic handicapping of women, but no satisfaction therewith.

Why have the Australians decided in favour of the political emancipation of women, is it not that they may use political power to bring about social and industrial changes, and therefore in favour of economic freedom?

Is there any good in men or women having political power unless it be used to alter the social surroundings from an unsatisfactory state to a satisfactory state? Certainly it would be a mockery to say to any, "you may have political power but you must not use it." Or, "you are entrusted with the power to vote but you must vote as we decide." or, "political power must not be used to raise the standard of life." It is too late for any to take up such an attitude now. The reactionaries will do so, because it is "their nature too," but that will have no effect upon the possessors of political power.

Dealing with this subject by

A LETTER TO THE MELBOURNE "ARGUS" two years ago, I then wrote, "As to the effect of Socialism on family life, the present system absolutely forbids the possibility of family life to a very large proportion of the community. Every one knows that in each of these Australasian States, including New Zealand, family life is quite impossible for such a large number, that not only is there a serious decline in what should be the natural increase of the population, but which has led to other evils of so grave a character as to give most serious concern to all well-wishers of the community.

The present marriage system is based upon the supposition of economic dependence of the woman on the man, and, as a result, sex domination obtains. Political freedom will, we hope, result in economic freedom for both sexes alike. The country that has already politically enfranchised women, must prepare itself for the broader issue.

Considerable criticism has been passed upon the above statement, I adhere to every word of it, and it stands perfectly unassailable, as it merely indicates the present trend of politico-economic affairs, not in Australia only but throughout civilisation.

The following extract is from the very able and lengthy article on Socialism in the "Encyclopedia Brittanica." On Religion as on Marriage, Socialism has no special teaching. The Social Democrats of Germany in their Gotha programme of 1875, declare religion to be a private concern. As we have seen Christian Socialism is a considerable force in many European countries, and in many of the other schools, especially that of Louis Blanc, the kinship and even identity of ethical spirit with that of Christianity, are unmistakable."
HOW TO ESTIMATE VALUES UNDER SOCIALISM.

The question of values and how to estimate the value of services under a Socialist regime, is often dwelt upon, the following brief statement on this subject from Dr. Karl Marx’s Capital is helpful. “Some might think that if the value of a commodity is determined by the quantity of labor spent on it, the more idle and unskilful the labourer, the more valuable would his commodity be, because more time would be required in its production. The labour, however, that forms the substance of value, is homogeneous labour, expenditure of one uniform labour-power. The total labour-power of society, which is embodied in the sum total of the values of all commodities produced by that society, counts here as one homogeneous mass of human labour-power, composed though it be of innumerable individual units.

Each of these units is the same as any other so far as it has the character of the average labour-power of society, and takes effect as such: that is, so far as it requires for producing a commodity, no more time than is needed on an average, no more than is socially necessary.”

SOCIALISM AND COMMUNISM.

It is a common thing for some to speak very favourably of Socialism, and very unfavourably of Communism; this arises mainly in consequence of lack of knowledge as to what Communism is. It is the full realisation of the Collectivist ideal, when not only will the means of wealth production be co-operatively owned by the people, but when there will be no segregation or any dictatorial official class of the kind we have knowledge of to-day, when even Parliaments will disappear very largely if not wholly. Socialists desire a free State of society wherein exploitation will be impossible and minus armies of officials or Parliamentarians.

For a Socialist to declare, imply or tolerate the idea that Socialism is sound, economically and ethically but that Communism is unsound is preposterous. When Capitalism is superseded by Socialism, influence will begin to operate favouring the shedding of officials and permanent politicians, and Society will doubtless travel to Communism. Only those who have not thought the subject out will have any hesitancy in accepting this, but when it is realised that it would be as illogical to suppose Society will not continue to cannot go beyond Capitalism, the absurdity of it will be

The following extract from a Lecture by the late William Morris, author of “Earthly Paradise” and very many other works, is worthy of attention, he says there are “two views taken among Socialists as to the future of society, according to the first, the State—that is, the Nation organised for unwasteful production and exchange of wealth—will be the sole possessor of the national plant and stock, the sole employer of labour, which she will so regulate in the general interest that no man will ever need to fear lack of employment and due earnings therefrom. Everybody will have an equal chance of livelihood, and, except as a rare disease there would be no hoarding of money or other wealth. This view points to an attempt to give everybody the full worth of the productive work done by him, after having ensured the necessary preliminary that he shall always be free to work.

According to the other view,

THE CENTRALISED NATION WOULD GIVE PLACE TO A FEDERATION OF COMMUNITIES

who would hold all wealth in common, and would use that wealth for satisfying the needs of each member, only exacting from each that he should do his best according to his capacity towards the production of the common-wealth. Of course, it is to be understood that each member is absolutely free to use his share of wealth as he pleases, without interference from any, so long as he really uses it, that is, does not turn it into an instrument for the oppression of others. This view intends complete equality of condition for every one, though life would be, as always, varied by the differences of capacity and dispositions; and emulation in working for the common good would supply the place of competition as an incentive.

These two views of the future of Society are sometimes opposed to each other as Socialism and Communism; but to my mind the latter is simply the necessary development of the former, which implies a transition period, during which the people will have the rights of the habits of mind bred by the people would be getting rid of the habits of commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition, and be long ages of tyranny and commercial competition.
the same loving heart and wise head presenting knowledge and showing sympathy not only with the suffering but with those at work as Social Reformers.

Most readers will have seen statements from

MEN WHO ARE YOUNG IN THE SOCIALIST MOVEMENT

who declare they have sympathy with Socialism, but not with the Socialism of the Continent of Europe. This is simply because they lack knowledge. It is as though some-one should say, I believe in Capitalism but not in the Capitalism of England or America. Just as the Capitalist system is found most highly developed in the countries named so has Socialism been scientifically studied and elaborated in all its bearings on the Continent of Europe. To think of endorsing Socialism and not to endorse the Socialism of Europe would be more ludicrous than to attempt the play of Hamlet, leaving the Danish prince out. Indeed such admissions are only made by ill-informed persons who are at the mercy of critics, oftentimes knowing no better than themselves, but unscrupulous and mercenary, writing under cover of the Capitalist press.

However, it is easy for those who are willing to take the trouble to know exactly what are the principles and what the policy of Socialists of the respective European peoples. Two years ago there appeared a volume entitled MODERN SOCIALISM, edited by R. C. K. Ensor, published by Harper Bros., London. This volume contains not only speeches by representative men in the Socialist movement, but gives also the statement of Principles and actual programmes of the respective parties. The cost of the work is six shillings; its value cannot be overrated. The Programmes of the German, Austrian, Belgian, French and British Parties are given, and reports of Lectures or Speeches of representative persons of many countries, making up a volume that may well serve as a guide to all students.

In order that those who have thought the Continental Socialists are a wild sort of folk, not knowing what citizenship means, or finding pleasure in some outrageous demands, I translate from the book named, the statement of principles by the German Social Democratic Party that precedes their actual programme. And as everyone knows the Germans are the most perfectly organised of the Socialists of the world, and may be quoted as being in the forefront of Socialist organisations.

"The economic development of bourgeois society leads by natural necessity to the downfall of the small industry, whose foundation is formed by the workers private ownership of his means of production. It separates the worker from his means of production, and converts him into a propertyless proletarian, while the means of production become the monopoly of a relatively small number of capitalists and large landowners."

HAND-IN-HAND WITH THIS MONOPOLISATION OF THE MEANS OF PRODUCTION

goes the displacement of the dispersed small industries by colossal great industries, the development of the tool into the machine, and a gigantic growth in the productivity of human labour. But all the advantages of this transformation are monopolised by capitalists and large landowners. For the proletariat and the declining intermediate classes—petty bourgeoisie and peasants—it means a growing augmentation of the insecurity of their existence, of misery, oppression, enslavement, debasement, and exploitation.

Ever greater grows the number of proletarians, ever more enormous the army of surplus workers, ever sharper the oppression between exploiters and exploited, ever bitterer the class-war between bourgeoisie and proletariat, which divides modern society into two hostile camps, and is the common hallmark of all industrial countries.

The gulf between the propertyed and the propertyless is further widened through the crises, founded in the essence of the capitalistic method of production, which constantly become more comprehensive and more devastating, which elevate general insecurity to the normal condition of society, and which prove that the powers of production of contemporary society have grown beyond measure, and that private ownership of the means of production has become incompatible with their application to their objects and their full development.

Private ownership of the means of production, which was formerly the means of securing to the producer the ownership of his product, has to-day become the means of expropriating peasant and manual workers, and small traders, and enabling the non-workers—capitalists and large landowners—to own the product of the workers. Only the transformation of capitalistic private ownership of the means of production—capitalistic private ownership of the means of production—the transformation of transport,—into social ownership, and the transformation of wealth into Socialist production, man-production of goods for sale into Socialist production, man-production of goods for sale into Socialist production, can bring it about, that the great industry and the steadily growing productive capacity
of social labour shall for the hitherto exploited classes be changed from a source of misery and oppression, to a source of the highest welfare and of all-round harmonious perfection.

This social transformation means the emancipation not only of the proletariat, but of the whole human race which suffers under the conditions to-day. But it can only be the work of the working class, because all the other classes, in spite of mutually conflicting interests, take their stand on

THE BASIS OF PRIVATE OWNERSHIP OF THE MEANS OF PRODUCTION,

and have as their common object the preservation of the principles of contemporary society.

The battle of the working-class against Capitalist exploitation is necessarily a political battle. The working-class cannot carry on its economic battles or develop its economic organisation without political rights. It cannot effect the passing of the means of production into the ownership of the community without acquiring political power.

To shape this battle of the working-class into a conscious and united effort, and to show it its naturally necessary end is the object of the Social Democratic Party.

The interests of the working-class are the same in all lands with capitalistic methods of production. With the expansion of world-transport and production for the world-market, the state of the workers in any one country becomes constantly more dependent on the state of the workers in other countries. The emancipation of the working-class is thus a task in which the workers of all civilised countries are concerned in a like degree. Conscious of this, the Social Democratic Party of Germany feels and declares itself one with the class-conscious workers of all other lands.

The Social Democratic Party of Germany fights thus not for new class privileges and exceptional rights, but for the abolition of class-domination and of the classes themselves, destruction of sex and parentage. Setting out from these views, it combats in contemporary society not merely the kind of exploitation and oppression of the wage-workers, but every class, a party, a sex, or a race.

This statement of principles is followed by the immediate demands of the party, and which want of space alone precludes insertion, but from the statement given may be gathered the entire **raison d'être** of the Socialistic movement, not a sen-

ence too much or too little, and each country in turn presents its case with equally correct economic reasoning. No other political party in any country does anything of the kind:

WHICH OF THE PLUTOCRATIC PARTIES DARES ATTEMPT

...to analyse society equally scientifically? Not one! The very attempt would again prove how unnecessary they were to the world. Socialists themselves will do well to carefully study this German statement, as brief though it is all salient features are dwelt upon. That statement was agreed upon at the Erfurt Conference of the party, in 1891, and has been ratified at each of their subsequent Congresses. In the same year the Austrian Social Democratic Party held their Congress at Brunn, and their statement preceding their programme is in all essentials like that of the German, just as a sample I give the first paragraph: "The Social Democratic Labour Party in Austria strives on behalf of the whole people without distinction of nation, race, or sex, for emancipation from the fetters of economic dependence, political oppression, and intellectual conformation. The cause of these unsatisfactory conditions lies, not in particular political arrangements, but in the fact essentially conditioning and dominating the whole state of society, that the means of working are monopolised in the hands of individual possessors. The possessors of the power to work, the working-class, fall therefore into the most oppressive dependence upon the possessors of the means of working which include land—that is, upon the great landed owning and capitalistic classes, whose political and economic domination is expressed in the class state of to-day."

Thus, having diagnosed scientifically the causes of exploitation and its results, the Austrians declare in favour of "an international party." They condemn the privileges of the parties as well as those of birth and sex, property and lineage, and declare that the war against exploitation must be international like the exploitation itself.

Equally interesting and edifying is the statement of principles of the "French Socialist Party," voted upon and accepted at Tours, in March 1902. A couple of paragraphs will prove interesting. Say the French—"Proletarians are acknowledged as fit citizens to manage the miliards of the national and communal budgets; (i.e. the finances of the local governing bodies) as labourers in the workshop, they are only a passive multitude, which has no share in the direction of enterprises, and
THEY ENDURE THE DOMINATION OF A CLASS
which makes them pay dearly for a tutelage whose utility
ceases and whose prolongation is arbitrary.

The irresistible tendency of the proletarians, therefore,
is to transfer into the economic order the democracy partially
realised in the political order. Just as all the citizens have
so they must have and handle in common, democratically, the political power,
the means of production. This should go far to satisfy those
Socialists who do not agree as to what main essentials or as to policy, and very little as to method.

The oldest of the Socialists bodies in England is the Social
Democratic Federation formed in 1883; this organisation also
continent, that it would be superfluous to quote at length
agreement as to necessity therefore, says the S.D.F. "The
economic development of modern society is characterised
by the more or less complete domination of the Capitalistic
mode of production, over all branches of human labor.

The capitalistic mode of production, because it has the
creation of profit for its sole object, therefore favours the
larger capital, and is based upon the alimony of the major-
ity of the people from the instruments of production and the
Society is thus divided into two opposite classes, one, the
mongers, holding in their hands the means of production,
command the labor of others; the other, the working-class,
labor-power, and being consequently forced by necessity
to work for the former.

Thus it will be seen there is an agreement in every country
as to what Socialism is, and why it is inevitable.

THE SOCIALISTS OF RUSSIA AND OF JAPAN
equally agree in the principle of a common brotherhood based
on "The Socialisation of the means of production, Distribution,
and Exchange, to be controlled by a Democratic State in
emancipation of Labour from the Dominination of Capitalism
Economic Equality between the Sexes."—English S.D.F.

In addition to the Social Democratic Federation of Great
Britain, there is another Socialist body. The Independent
Labor Party, whose activity is very considerable and which
conducts a systematic propaganda continuously throughout
the U.K., its object is pithily put: "An Industrial Com-
monwealth founded upon the Socialisation of Land and Capital.
The reasons for this are given as, "The true object of industry
being the production of the requirements of life, the respon-
sibility should rest with the community collectively, therefore
"The land, being the storehouse of all the necessities of life,
should be declared and treated as public property. The
capital necessary for industrial operations should be owned
and used collectively. Work and wealth resulting theretrom,
should be equitably distributed over the population."

Then follows the programme.

Both the S.D.F. and the I.L.P. together with the Fabian
Society have done very much educational work, and it has
resulted in the formation of a much larger third body or
organisation known as the Labor Representation Committee,
now five years old, formed really out of and by the Trade
Union Congress, in order to give all necessary attention to the
desires of the workers from the political standpoint as ex-
pressed by the patent body. The first essential condition
of this new political organisation was, that it was to be entirely
independent of, and apart from the bourgeois, or orthodox
political parties of the day. It was not to be Conservative,
Liberal or Radical, or be in anyway identified with these
bodies, but straight-out "Labor." It was not declared to be
Socialist, on the contrary, when an effort was made that the
declared objective of the new body should be the realisation
of Socialism, the majority of delegates declared it was un-
necessary to make any such declaration; what was wanted
said they, was the bringing together of the Trade Unionists
and Socialist forces; and it appears they have succeeded.
At the L.R.C. Conference this year:

THE SOCIALIST OBJECTIVE WAS EASILY CARRIED,
and this represents a million of the organised workers. This
may be taken as a decisive indication of the development of
affairs in the U.K. as the most perfectly organised bodies of work-
ers are directly connected with, and are largely responsible for the
movement. About seventy Socialist and Labor Candidates
are prepared to take the field immediately there are signs of a
general election, and of these about forty will be returned,
so that after the next election the House of Commons will at
least have a group of straight-out fellows to sturdily fight
the workers' cause.
As bearing upon the pledge signing by candidates which has received some public attention here, it is interesting to note that the L.R.C. is quite definite on the matter. The following is the declared immediate object of the organisation:

"To secure, by united action, the election to Parliament of candidates promoted, in the first instance, by an affiliated society or societies in the constituency, who undertake to form or join a distinct group in Parliament with its own whips and its own policy on labour questions, to abstain strictly from identifying themselves with or promoting the interests of any section of the Liberal or Conservative parties, and not to oppose any other candidate recognised by this committee. All such candidates shall pledge themselves to accept this constitution, or resign, and to appear before their constituencies under the title of Labor Candidates only."

LABOR'S ADVANCING ARMY.

Most of the information given below is based on material issued by the Secretary of the International Socialist Bureau; but at the time it was issued it was not known to the Bureau that Labor Candidates in Australia stood on a definite Socialist basis and therefore they were not included, after what has taken place at the respective State Conferences or Conventions, and the endorsement of the Socialist objective by the Interstate Labor Conference, held in Melbourne, July 1905, no one could give an account of the Socialist representatives in the various Parliaments, and on Municipal bodies, without including the Australian Labor Men, but to guard against criticism, I admit it would not be right to declare that all are definitely Socialist, it can only be said of some of them that they are Socialist in trend, but no one can doubt that the

GUIDING OR DRIVING FORCE IS SOCIALISM PURE AND SIMPLE,

and the same now applies to the Socialist and Labor members returned in the United Kingdom. Labor only though some of them are, they are consciously or otherwise, the evidence of the antagonism of classes brought about by modern Capitalism.

SOCIALIST AND LABOR REPRESENTATIVES, AND THE LABOR-SOCIALIST VOTE OF THE WORLD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Election</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Labor Socialists Total Re-elected including representatives.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>3,010,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>865,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>1901</td>
<td>780,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>463,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>301,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>321,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>53,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>38,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>8,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the Federal Election in December 1903, the Labor Party ran Candidates for the Senate in each State, including Tasmania. By taking the highest vote in each State recorded for Labor-Senate Candidate, we get the total of 321,225; the number returned in above list stands for Representatives. There is probably no other country in the world where so general an opportunity was afforded to test the feeling, as for Senate purposes, each State forms one electorate and therefore the vote recorded is not for a portion of Australia, but literally for the whole of the Commonwealth, women as well as men.

One half of the Senators and Representatives retired and were eligible for re-election at the last election, so the number of Senators and of Representatives is composed of those who were returned at the last two elections.

The total number of Labor Senators is fourteen out of a total of thirty-six, there being a total of six Senators for each State, irrespective of the population.

QUEENSLAND HEADS THE LIST

with five Labor men out of six possible as follows:

Queensland.—Labor Senators: Senators Higgs, Dawson, Stewart, Turley, Givens...
THE FEDERAL HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

consists of 75 members, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Labor Members</th>
<th>Total Reps.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New South Wales</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Australia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Australia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25             75

STATE ASSEMBLIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Members</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New South Wales</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Australia</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25             75

NEWSPAPERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socialist and Labour Party Papers</th>
<th>Daily (in included in first col.)</th>
<th>Trade Union and Labour Papers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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LABOUR AND SOCIALIST MAYORS, ALDERMEN, COUNCILLORS, GUARDIANS, ETC.

Germany: IIII Representatives in different State Diets
United Kingdom: 790 Aldermen, County, Town, and Urban District Councillors and Members of Boards of Guardians.

France: 68 Mayors,

1,200 Municipal Councillors,

43 Provincial Councillors.

Italy: 100 Municipal Councils in hands of the Socialists.

Belgium: 600 Municipal Councillors,

70 Provincial Councillors,

4 Senators.

Denmark: 400 Municipal Councillors,

1 Senator.


Norway: 17 Municipal Councillors.

Switzerland: The Socialist Party is represented in 17 Cantons and 41 Municipal Councillors in 178 Municipalities.

United States: 350 Socialists on various Public Bodies.

This is not a bad start, and now the respective Plutocratic parties are merging their forces to make common cause against the Socialists. This must come; all pretence of having real sympathy with Socialist aspirations will be dropped by the reactionaries as soon as the respective forces get to close quarters. I know full well the tendency on the part of some well-meaning comrades to appear always as models of decorum, harbingers of peace, ultra respectable, no opposition to anyone or anything; I know also how utterly futile they are and must be to accomplish anything of value. The fight has begun but it is by no means over, and those who have no understanding that it is a real struggle we are engaged in are of very little use to the movement.

Whilst children are dying by hundreds of thousands, women groaning because they cannot command life's necessaries for their children, and millions of men in the respective countries barred by unemployment from getting life's necessaries, and this in the midst of a superfluity of wealth the like of which the world has never known before,

THE CLARION CRY GOES FORTH TO ALL

vigorously appealing to every true comrade to get to work and achieve something. Never before in History so far as
we have knowledge has there been such a universality of ex-
pectancy. The times are ripe for great changes, those changes
are certain to come, not the mere pettifogging changes of
fiscalism, not the trifling additions to wages, brought about
now and again for some sections by one or other agencies,
but definite deep-rooted drastic changes, the de-throning of
owners and controllers of themselves and the wealth they pro-
duce; this is not the time to ask for Peace?

" Peace between Capital and Labor, is that all you ask?
Is Peace then the only thing needful?
There was Peace enough in Southern slavery.
There is a Peace of life and another of death.
It is well to rise above violence.
It is well to rise superior to anger.
But if peace means final acquiescence in wrong,—if your aim
is less than justice, and peace, forever one,—then
your peace is a crime." Ernest Crosby.

The chief cause of the English Workman being so much
behind his Continental brother in so many important directions,
is traceable to his snobbish notion of respectability. With
opportunities exceeding those of workers in any other European
Country, so insular and bourgeois, so lacking in class-con-
sciousness has the representative British worker been, that
until just recently it seemed next to hopeless to expect any
advance.

Even now, how poor by comparison do the British workers
show up as evidenced by the lists previously given. Look at
the aggregate Socialist vote in the U.K., a country with 42
millions of inhabitants—even though the franchise may be
faulty—they have seven millions of electors on the rolls, and the workers have a large majority of the
votes in 70 per cent. of the electorates! How often
has the need for a daily paper, owned and entirely con-
trolled by the workers been called for, and how feeble the
response! Australians may not be conscious of it but they
exhibit the faultiness of the Britisher in many serious respects.

THINK OF THE INSULTS THAT HAVE BEEN THROWN
AT THE LABOR PARTY

by the Plutocratic press, to realise how great a service
could be rendered to the cause if the party owned an
efficiently conducted daily paper, should be sufficient
stimulus to bring one into speedy existence. At last it looks

as though a sensible effort is to be made in South Australia
and Victoria, perhaps by the time the workers are a little
more educated in social economics, the effort will be crowned
with success. It is not too much to hope to see during the
next few years, not merely more perfected organisation, but
also such educational agencies in the hands of the disciplined
bodies of workers that we shall be entirely out of the swaddling
clothes stage.

The Socialist Labor Party of Australia should be so perfectly
organised and thoroughly equipped that nothing should ever
go by default. As yet the literary department is woefully
deficient. Every State Party ought to systematically educate
the electorate in everything appertaining to the Socialist move-
ment of the world. The weekly Labor papers at present serv-
ing as the chief organs, are of course doing the best they can,
but how trifling compared to the stupendous work that needs
to be accomplished. More weeklies and daily will be neces-
ary, and it is high time business capacity was shown in this
direction.

Without literature of the right sort the workers cannot be
properly qualified to discern between the subtleties of political
charlatans, who are ever alert to turn aside the movement from
the straight path that leads to social ownership of the agencies
of production.

How well I know the plausible person who says, "Really you
know I would go as far as necessary but one mustn’t go too
fast." And such a person’s notion of going fast enough is to
follow the crowd even if it drops him through the sands and
the mulga because he hasn’t the courage or capacity to make
one to get the crowd on to the right road. I urge upon my
readers, more especially upon the young men, to be at all times
most tolerant and kindly towards all who have done good
work even on the most superficial plane; but I also urge them
not to consider it kindness to hesitate to always declare for
straight-out action and go the "whole hog."

Remember we are not building a nation of workers to fight
some other nation of workers either in a military or an industrial
sense.

INDUSTRIAL WARFARE IS Fraught WITH
HORRORS

every whit as awful as military or naval warfare. Until now the
workers of each country in turn have been gullied that they
are a wonderfully superior people to the workers of some other
nation. Now we know how absolutely true it is that the
interests of the workers of all lands are perfectly identical.
WE PREACH A PEACE

that so far transcends the peace proclaimed by churches, that whereas, the Churches in each nation back up the murderous empire extending policy, Socialists always declare in favour of the solidarity of the interests of the workers of every country. An American editor has put this so forcibly—and it is always helpful to study what the Americans, as the most progressive, are thinking and saying, that it cannot but be interesting to readers—"More and more the enlightened proletarian is realising that war means for him, but continual exploitation and enslavement. More and more he is learning that every attempt to exploit the workers of another country only fastens the shackles of exploitation more firmly upon his own limbs. More and more he is learning that every blow aimed at the interests of the working class of Europe, of Asia, or the far off Isles of the sea, will only rebound with four-fold force upon his own back. More and more he is learning that the irrepressible conflict of to-day is not between the workers of one nation and the workers of another nation, but it is a conflict between the
capitalists and the workers of all nations, and so above every appeal to national pride, above every appeal to religious precept, above every appeal to national pride, above every appeal to religious precept, above every appeal to racial hatred, sounds high and above every appeal to racial hatred, sounds high and

and nation against nation, which glories in war and finds its highest inspiration in the trumpery of a military parade; for that spurious and bombastic patriotism which glorifies the deeds of our fathers but stultifies every principle for which they fought; for that spurious and bombastic patriotism whose other name is tyranny, oppression, exploitation, capitalism, the world over, we have no use whatever. Against it our whole soul sickens and revolts. But to that newer and truer patriotism which recognises the whole world as our nation, and every man as my brother; to that newer and truer patriotism which realises that there is more glory in peace than in war: to that patriotism which would turn our warships into floating hospitals and our tented fields into kindergarten playgrounds: to that truer patriotism whose banner over us is the world-wide red banner of Socialism, not the flag of bloody revolution; but fitting symbol of the fact that one blood courses through the veins of the whole human family: to that newer and truer patriotism whose shibboleth is liberty, fraternity, and equality, and whose other name is justice and peace, for ever one, the working class movement of the world, gives its whole-souled allegiance." Dr. Howard A. Gibbs, in "The Comrade." Cooper Square, New York.
How lofty the aspiration and how noble the mental and moral attitude shown in this citation as contrasted with the puny bickerings of orthodox politicians and the mean aims of competitive commercialists. America has been relatively slow to accept and to advocate the necessity for Socialism; there more than elsewhere the gospel of "getting on" on the most blatant individualist lines was for several generations the ideal—if such it may be termed. From every country in Europe there flocked to America those whose only ambition was "to make a bit" to "scoop the pool," a natural desire on the part of those who had been subjected to old world aristocratic and plutocratic domination, and in turn they exhibited the very worst evils of a bossing gold-hunting exploiting plutocracy, and the world may be grateful not only that the field was afforded them to indulge that propensity, but still more grateful that having passed through the experiment, the educated amongst them are now in the front rank of the world's advocates of a genuine Collectivism. This fact is surely a very weighty one and

WORTHY OF SERIOUS ATTENTION BY
AUSTRALIANS,

many of whom, who claim to be guides re matters of social and industrial reform, have nothing better to offer than a policy of fiscalism, and largely on the grounds that "America has succeeded so well on it." The day has gone when it was possible to build up a nation to pass through the experience of America and the older countries, economic development absolutely forbids it. England had a long innings, because the capitalist movement based on machine-made commodities began with her, and slowly (though quickly compared with other economic phases) she developed the Joint Stock Companies, the Syndicates and Trusts: America picking up the Trusts developed them in every conceivable direction, and rushed through with National and International Combines; other peoples cannot now come along and engage in industrial competition as though these stages had not been passed through.
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ally no limit to the population that could be maintained in the Australian Commonwealth, and on an ever advancing standard of well-being; but to do this all Legislative effort should be in the direction of National Co-operation.

Every Socialist man and woman, and every Labor man and woman should have as their watchword, NATIONAL CO-OPERATION. Therefore, as speedily as possible, the Land must be transferred to the Nation, the Industries must be taken over by the Nation, and the State i.e. the Nation must organise and run the industries including Scientific Agriculture, in the interests of the whole people Co-operatively.

Never had a people so glorious an opportunity to begin this as Australians now have. Even if America had desired to do it a century ago, in the then relatively infantile stage of economic development of the world it was impossible. Under Co-operation there can be no room for international hatreds and jealousies.

NO ROOM FOR ARMS FOR NAVIES FOR OFFENSIVE PURPOSES,

or to protect a sea-borne commerce against marauders; all this waste will absolutely cease, and the energy of every man will be welcomed and his reward will be sure.

Given a proper recognition of the stupendous advantages of National Co-operation, and right-away State Iron and Steel works would be started. Smelting works and Blast Furnaces, Rolling Mills and Engine Shops, and every encouragement would be given to every industry, the products of which made for general well-being. Under such conditions there would be no unemployed section, there never could be, there could be no poverty, there would therefore be no crime arising out of poverty. Would not this then be a country worth living in? And all this is realisable and that speedily; but we must face all it involves, and bid good-bye to international competitive industry, which is already tottering to its final fall.

Proposals for Programme of a Socialist Labor Party of Victoria.

STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES.

The well-being of a Nation depends upon efficiency of methods of production and equity of distribution. With modern commodities can be provided to satisfy the needs of all, but and associated effort on the highest scale.

To ensure efficiency of production, there must be free access to the raw material and machinery, therefore all private and sectional monopoly of natural agencies or mechanical appliances must disappear.

The present system of conducting manufacturing and trading operations primarily for the purposes of capitalist profit, instead of producing for the use of all, is directly responsible for the enforced idleness of a considerable section in every country, and for keeping the mass of the wage-workers of the world in constant subjection to the Capitalist class.

No country in constant subjection to the Socialist Labor Party is to secure economic freedom for the whole community, i.e. that all men and all women shall have equal opportunities of sharing in wealth production and consumption untrammeled by any restriction production and consumption untrammeled by any restriction.

It is possible for the State to remove few and hardships for the many could not obtain.

The clearly avowed object, therefore, of the Socialist Labor Party is to hasten the change already begun, of transferring ownership from private ownership to public ownership, all the agencies of wealth production and distribution, to public control these agencies on a Co-operative basis in the interests of all alike, and to aim constantly at securing for all citizens the highest standard of mental culture, industrial efficiency and social well-being.

To realise this Co-operative state, the following programme must be carried through:

POLITICAL.

The abolition of the office of State Governor.
The abolition of the Legislative Council.
The introduction of the Initiative and Referendum.
A measure of Local Government on a genuine democratic basis.

SOCIAL.

The administration of justice to be free to all; the establishment of public offices where legal advice can be obtained free of charge.

Compulsory construction by public bodies of healthy dwellings for the people; such dwellings to be let at rents to cover the cost of construction and maintenance alone, and not to cover the cost of land.
LABOR.

A Legislative six hour work-day or 36 hours per week for all Miners and others engaged in arduous occupations, and a Legislative Maximum eight-hour work-day for all other trades and industries.

No child to be employed in any trade or occupation till 16 years of age.

State provision of useful work for all who might otherwise be unemployed.

Free State Insurance against sickness and accident, and adequate State Pensions for all aged and disabled persons.

Equal pay for both sexes for the performance of equal work.

ECONOMIC AND INDUSTRIAL.

Nationalisation of the Land and the organisation of labor in agriculture and industry under public ownership and control on Co-operative principles.

Nationalisation of the Trusts.

Public ownership and control of electric light and power supply, gas, tramways and cars.

Municipal ownership and control of coal supply.

Municipal ownership and control of milk supply.

State and Municipal banks, pawnshops and public restaurants.

Municipal Bakeries.

Public ownership and control of the Liquor Trade.

Public ownership and control of Hospitals and Dispensaries.

Free Medicine for all.

A cumulative tax on all incomes exceeding £300 per annum.

I ask a candid consideration for the above programme, and I think it will be seen that every item makes directly towards the Socialisation of the means of production and distribution. And what is the good of working for any thing less than this? We are in for a prolonged warfare, not the mere shuttle-cock game of ordinary politics of ins and outs, as LOWELL has it.

"It's war we're in, not politics.

It's systems wrestlin' now, not parties."

and the struggle is not confined to one people but covers the whole of civilisation. And yet it is nothing more than the natural evolutionary development and therefore is in the most perfect order. Humanity cannot by any possibility remain in its existing chaotic economic condition; we are suffering consequent upon the overthrow of old institutions by the rapid development of the Capitalist system. The Capitalists themselves know this full well and many, very many I believe, are glad to see the signs of the coming change. So with many of those officially connected with the Churches; I know a large number connected with religious denominations, who are sorely grieved at the grossly materialistic conditions that surround them and feel deeply the urgent necessity for a revolutionary change in the basis of human society; that change is at hand, but as yet only a minority are conscious of it, it is a change fraught with every blessing to mankind that the best amongst us could wish for. All hail the day, not distant now, when the mercenary spirit shall be replaced by that nobleness of nature all too lofty, to be-meant itself or others by the mere pursuit of pelf.

APPENDIX I.

As the English speaking race are frequently referred to as though they were particularly heavy drinkers in comparison with those of other Nationalities, the following table taken from that Standard Temperance Work, known as "The Temperance Problem and Social Reform," by Messrs. Rowntree and Sherwell, will be helpful.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Drinkers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Drinkers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Australia</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. A. (N.T.)</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. S. W.</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Z.</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX II.

Considerable misunderstanding exists as to the annual value of production in the United Kingdom and Australia, and quite a number who quote Mr. Coghlan are in utter confusion regarding 1st: Primary production; 2nd: Value of production from all Industries; and 3rd: Total income. Primary production relates to Agricultural and Pastoral pursuits, Dairy Farming and Mining, and other primaries.

The table given by Coghlan in "A Statistical Account of Australia and New Zealand," (1903-4) is taken from "Mulhall's Dictionary of Statistics" for all countries except Australia, and is to be found on page 1021; and shows that Australia produces £89,144,000 per annum of primaries or £22 15s. 2d. per head of population per annum. The United Kingdom produces in primaries 317 millions of pounds or £7 18s. 6d. per head; France, £11 11s. 6d. per head; Germany, £8 13s. 4d.; United States, £14 14s. 6d.; Canada, £16 5s. 6d. These figures, however, give no indication at all as to the character of a Nation's welfare. As for instance with the United Kingdom, four-fifths of the Nation's work is on other than producing primaries, whilst in Australia the reverse is the case.

The value of the combined industries of Australia are. Manufactures in addition to primary products, amounted in 1903 to £117,672,000 or £30 0s. 7d. per head. See Coghlan's "Statistical Account," p. 1018.

This, however, does not give the total income of the people of the Commonwealth, as the services of other sections are called into requisition who, of course receive incomes, when all such services are included and allowed for, the income of the Australian Commonwealth amounts to £479,503,000 or £45 18s. 6d. per head. Mr. Coghlan gives no figures for countries outside Australia as to the value of the combined industries or the Total income; but the officially declared estimate of the Total income for the United Kingdom for 1903 is £1,750,000,000 (seventeen hundred and fifty millions of pounds) or £41 18s. 6d. per inhabitant.

Both Sides

... OF ... Australian Socialism.

Specially written articles and definitions by:

FOR.
Hon. J. C. WATSON, M.P.
Hon. Senator McGREGOR, M.P.
The EDITOR of Brisbane "Worker."

Mr. TOM MANN.

AGAINST.
Rt. Hon. G. H. REID, M.P.
Hon. ALLAN McLEAN, M.P.
The EDITOR of "Liberty and Progress."

REPRODUCTION OF GRIDIRON MAPS,
Showing Strength of Socialism in Federal Parliament.

AUTHOR'S RIGHTS RESERVED.

A PLEA for

FEDERAL FRANCHISE REFORM.

Compiled and Published by
The Australian Press Cuttings' Agency, Reg.,
341 Collins Street, Melbourne, Victoria.

OBTAINABLE FROM ALL BOOKSELLERS.

Price — FOURPENCE.