THE 5% WHO WON'T

Despite a long tradition of parliamentary "democracy" and compulsory voting in this country (since the mid 1920's) recent reports support the view that the "authorities" are seriously concerned at the ever decreasing levels of voting in Australian elections.

A major campaign aimed at increasing electoral registration was launched by the Special Minister of State, Mick Young, on the 26th of September last year. Concern over the drop in registration in recent years is so high, in fact, that just over $3 million has been earmarked for publicity drive to be run by the new Australian Electoral Commission. Charged with conducting elections, carrying out redistributions and regulating donations and public spending on elections the Commission will also have as one of its major tasks the role of "promoting a greater awareness of the political process in Australian politics". An expensive "consciousness raising" exercise, indeed!

ORGANISING THE SHEEP

But, apparently our labour "leaders" think that $3 million is a reasonable sum to pay (certainly more than they seem to think that women's refuges and rape crisis centres are worth, for example). And, of course, in their eyes they have an urgent task! They must bring the erring (and non-voting) sheep back into the fold. The matter becomes all the more pressing if some of the "sheep" are developing their own ideas about how "the farm" might be organised.

HOW TO MAKE THEM VOTE?

The interesting question, of course, is how the Hawke government is going to encourage the "disaffected" within our midst to see the light? For at least 5% of our population such a campaign may have quite some impact on their lives. For this is the proportion of the population who are now choosing not to "exercise their democratic rights". On top of this are those, who having registered at one time or another, now choose to absent themselves from the polls.

Are they, in fact, the courageous few?

WHY IS HAWKE CONCERNED?

According to the pre-publicity campaign survey of course by_trim the text here. The government those not on the electoral rolls are, in the main, in their late teens and early 20's. The unemployed are heavily represented amongst those who, the surveyors say, declare their hostility towards the "system". No wonder the government is dismayed. But why should a comparatively low 5% of non-voters cause concern to the current government?

Even conservative Claude Forreel (THE AGE) can see that the "...government may be right to suspect that Labour would win a high proportion of the votes to be garnered" (by encouraging these people to vote). It is difficult to see any other political party gaining from their increased participation in the electoral process. A cynical political exercise at the very least.

NOT JUST THE UNEMPLOYED

As well as the young unemployed it was shown that...
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"young women" and "even some tertiary educated women" and "even some with "positive attitude". No wonder that it is women who see little benefit in participating in a system based upon power and number games so overwhelmingly dominated by men! We will see later how the government has chosen to bring them into line.

WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO DO?

Time to look at the advertising campaign itself. Focusing on the "young and disaffected" the first move by the campaigners has been to have a bit of a go at those "radicals" who may be encouraging this deviance. As the only political movement in Australia putting a democratic alternative to "parliamentary democracy" and the nonsense of voting, anarchists may be interested to see how they (presumably) are depicted in the material produced by the advertisers commissioned by the government to do "the job".

A REVOLUTIONARY AFTERNOON TEA

Lights! Camera! Action! Gosh, but these advertisers have a limited imagination! Dressed in jungle greens (ready for storming the summer palace) our parlour revolutionists can't seem to think of any particular reasons for encouraging their "followers" not to vote. In fact, one of their number raises the question of benefits gained through "the system". Pensions and unemployment benefits, for example. Yes! It is truly inspiring to feel the humiliation of the poverty line and the certication of being called a "dole bludger". And, our "young people" depersonalized in our view is so easily convinced that all is well they realize that revolution is not required but simply a change in legislation. Wouldn't it be wonderful if all life's little problems could be solved easily?

Not even the most ardent supporter of the current "state of the art" could really say that these ads are convincing. They are simply unlikely to cut with the 'target' group of social malcontents. It'll be interesting to see a little of the post-campaign research. Who would like to join me in a bet that it won't be as well advertised as the pre-campaign figures?

YA GOTTA BE POPULAR - VOTE!

Now to the choice of material party at the young women who fail to vote. This is, to my mind, the most insidious part of the whole campaign. One regular television ad, features a crowd of "happy-go-lucky" (totally easily, dolbies here) frolicking on the beach. As they walk towards the camera a scantily clad young woman is featured. She is "Miss Popular" surrounded as she is by all the "fellas". The voice-over intones "What did voting ever do for us" and we hear about the wonders of pensions and the dole again.

Somewhere we are supposed to be making the connection between voting and the good times to be had by being a nubile la plage, a serious discussion here. No talking about problems for these bright kids! Just lots of fun and games and leave the serious stuff to the oldies.

For girls, of course, this message is doubly clear. If you want to be a good girl you just have to part of the crowd, keep your head down and let the rest of us do the work. For example, yes! It is truly believe that this sort of approach is going to work. What sort of attitude towards women, young and young women, in particular, does this indicate? Do they really believe that the demand for popularity (and crowd identification) is so pressing on young women that they would identify with the connection made in the ads? In the minds of these campaigner being "involved" seems to boil down, for a girl, being accepted by one's peers (male). It's pathetic if you believe that we are anything more than just a "crowd" animal.

THE 5% WHO WON'T VOTE

When asked "Why not vote?" why do at least 5% of us reply "Why bother?"

Now, of course, not all of this group have said to themselves some equivalent to the statement like "My voting will only help legitimize a system that I disagree with in every respect." Some may well say something like this. But, I daresay, that there are a lot who don't bother to register or who don't bother to vote because it was "too cold", "too hot" raining or simply had no time. Better to do at the time. And, fair enough. Too. If something means little to you and perhaps, more importantly seems beyond the scope, why bother breaking your back to stay involved?

APATHY RULES. O.K.?

These "non-conscious abstainers" are so easily accused of being ignorant. But it is surprising how many of these so-called "apathetic" people can be energetic about their family lives, events in their community and coming to others aid when they see the need. The government's own survey found that the most "apathetic" group in terms of non-voting - the unemployed - were, in fact, the most politically aware, least concerned about day-to-day political events and keen to voice an opinion on the performance of the government. Yet, because they are unwilling to participate in the farce known as elections they are labelled "ignorant" and "apathetic". They now probably feel insulted as well.
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THE EMMA GOLDMAN PROJECT

In recent months a small group of people in Melbourne has begun work on a research project on Emma Goldman.

One of the most well-known anarchists during the turn of this century, Emma has won herself an affectionate place in modern anarchist history for her amazing energy, commitment to her ideals and contribution to anarchism and anarchist feminist thought.

In reading Emma's autobiography it is impossible not to be struck by the continuing freshness and relevance of her ideas. However, the project will be looking at all her available writings, as well as her two biographies and collected letters.

This project is an educational one. Its aim is to introduce Emma, her life and ideas to a wider audience through the production of a slide and audio-tape kit. It is hoped that this will be reproduced at low cost for other interested groups.

You can help the project by providing any photos or other material e.g. copies of more unusual material written by Emma, reviews of her work or other material written about her. If you would like to get involved in the project itself we can be contacted c/o AFFINITY COLLECTIVE.

Emma Goldman
North Fitzroy 3068

LIBERTARIAN AID TO LATIN AMERICA

This report was received via telephone from a Libertarian Aid to Latin America (LALA) member in the United States; and gives some details of their activities.

As the name implies, LALA is a support group for Libertarians in Latin America, and was formed in 1981 by a number of libertarian and anarcho-syndicalist groups in the U.S. and Canada. Its activities include providing support for and information about the struggles of libertarians in countries such as Argentina, Chile, Brazil, and Nicaragua. In turn, finances raised at film nights and meetings have gone to provide real material aid to Latin American comrades.

In one case, this aid took the form of raising money for a Chilean comrade in jail in Italy who needed urgent medical attention. He was one of four South American exiles who were exiled to Cuba in 1976. Expelled then from Cuba, they made their way to Italy where, once again, four of the group were forced to leave, due in large part to the hostile activities of a group of socialist and communist Chilean exiles in Europe called "Chile Democrats." The two remaining comrades were less fortunate and were subsequently jailed, basically for the sole "crime" of association with other anarchists.

When one of these prisoners needed money for an operation, which was apparently not provided by the Italian jail system, LALA was able to raise the funds necessary to pay for his medical care.

LALA has also been able to provide financial aid to a Brazilian network who produce the periodical "Ensego do Roy" (Épervier of the King), but direct contact is generally difficult with libertarians and anarcho-syndicalists in Latin America; their repression is often harsh in these countries including Cuba and Nicaragua, and people there are naturally hesitant about broadcasting their whereabouts and activities. As a result, LALA's contacts and aid have so far been made entirely through exiled comrades in Europe.

The AFFINITY collective sees one of the most important and immediate tasks for libertarians in Australia is to raise moral and material support for those groups and individuals in Latin America fighting not only the murderous juntas but the authoritarian and "social democratic" left who threaten to become the "new bosses" in the quest for power.

The failure of these traditional movements to bring about real liberation of the people was discussed, in depth, in AFFINITY No. 1. Our libertarian comrades in Latin America face defeat and possibly death if we cannot apply our internationalist principles and whatever direct aid we have available.

Donations ear-marked as aid to Latin American comrades can be forwarded direct to:

LIBERTARIAN AID TO LATIN AMERICA
C/O P.O. Box 692, Old Chelsea Station, New York, N.Y. 10113, U.S.A.

Or
C/O The AFFINITY Collective P.O. Box 109, North Fitzroy, Vic. 3068

A full account of donations received by AFFINITY will be published in the next issue.
Ever walked down a city street and realized a graceful old building which had unique character has been replaced by an ultra modern steel chrome and glass high rise which has the same charm and architectural imagination of your local telephone box?

Of course you have and so has nearly everyone else, but except for a brief period in the early 70"s resistance to this environmental destruction was rare.

There are strong signs that city dwellers are fighting for their general environment, not just for their own flats.

In N.S.W. recently, two battles were fought and lost over the destruction of Martin Place's Art Deco era post office and the 1899 church at Tathra (both in Sydney). At Woona, a "Save the Vista Committee" is fighting with some success to save a 1923 cinema. This remarkable building with art deco decorations and marble furnishings, has changed little since its construction. The vista is the only non-commercial venue available in an area of a quarter of a million people.

However the area where most battles are likely to take place in the immediate future is in Adelaide. Due to a lack of trees and plenty of stone much of the early city was built of stone and is still standing - or was. The Adelaide Hills limit growth and businesses prefer to be in the central area or inner suburbs for greater prestige. Their prestige is usually based on the destruction of the old stone cottages and the many remarkable buildings.

Early resistance took the form of electing a key protestor.

In style and decision making the group has much about it that is strongly anarchist. Major decisions are made by a mass meeting which elects a chairperson if the need is felt, the position rotates from meeting to meeting. Work is done by affinity committees, research, publicity, fundraising, and security. Members are encouraged not to be on too many committees to avoid the group being run by a few people. The social composition of the group is diverse which is good. A group fighting for the community should be a cross section of that community. Groups that consist of one peer group tend to be orientated towards fun or internal relationships resulting in the cause for its existence goes nowhere. So far the traditional left has not moved in to turn the organisation into their usual arena for recruiting, power grabbing and eventual absorption. Hopefully this will not occur this time.

The manipulation of the Unemployed Workers Union, of the anti-nuclear and peace organisations which paralysed them internally will be hard to repeat as people become aware of such manipulations.

Garry Hill

DIRECT ACTION TOWN PLANNING!

Chapman proved the anarchist axiom "whoever you vote for a politician gets in".

Chapman then united with arch wreckers Alderman Chapell ("every building over a hundred years old is outdated and valueless") and Block (if they, (local residents of 30 years) don't like their houses being pulled down they can go.

The first target has been the Aurora Hotel, established in 1859 and unchanged since 1914.

The Aurora was strong in its diverse historical links. The stained glass windows were done in 1888 by the first person to work in glass in South Australia. Hans Heyson stayed and exhibited there as well as being a gathering place for the early German community as well as its association with the local theatre for 40 years. Recently the hotel has been a venue for rock groups.

One of Adelaide's big companies decided the city needed another shoe box design high rise, full of empty offices. The battle was on when the Builders Laborer's Federation agreed not to demolish the Aurora if the action group could maintain a 24 hour picket line, which was carried on for 35 days until the 1st December 1983. The picket line did not collapse but was crossed by BLF members after one of the organizers under legal threats withdrew and his solicitor, on his own initiative sent a letter to the BLF and the developers saying that if anyone should end up in court it should be the BLF.

Rumors that the BLF got involved and uninvolved at its convenience are unproved at present.

Aurora came down, yet out of the picket line came an organisation to fight for the Adelaide environment - the Aurora Heritage Action group.

CONTACTS CONTACTS CONTACTS
Monash Anarchist Society, The Union, Monash University,
BIG BROTHER

In the last few months the anarchist movement has seen two attempts to associate it with "terrorist" activity.

The first case involved two people who were arrested and charged with the placing of an explosive device outside the Lucas Heights Nuclear reactor located near Sydney. Through contacts in the media, people at the JURA BOOKSHOP were tipped off that either the media and/or police were trying to link the bookshop to those responsible for this pointless act.

The so-called link? Books purchased from JURA were found in the home of one of the accused.

The second attempt to link anarchists with "terrorist" activity recently involved the anonymous threats made in Queensland by a "person or persons unknown" to release foot and mouth disease throughout the state (to effectively destroy the state's cattle industry) if reforestation were not made immediately to the prison system in that state.

Numerous raids were made on left-wing households in Brisbane. Included were the homes of an outspoken activist in the PRISONERS ACTION GROUP and a community of Christian anarchists.

FREEDOM COLLECTIVE RANSACKED

In the west, the FREEDOM COLLECTIVE Resources Centre was ransacked early in January. Walls were daubed with slogans such as "fucking poofers" and the like.

Luckily, the centre was in the process of moving, and the printing equipment had been relocated. A large selection of CRASS records were stolen as well. It seems that some punks had visited the centre that day and had been shown the records. They were kept in a concealed place so their disappearance was not accidental.

As one Freedom Collective comrade remarked: "CRASS seems to have a real identity problem - between the marketed image of punk and the real content of their music".

The incident is almost identical to the attack on the MELBOURNE ANARCHIST CENTRE shortly after it opened in 1982.

1984 CONFERENCE

JURA BOOKSHOP (447 King St. Newtown, 1984) have announced the organisation of a conference on the theme of "1984 AND SOCIAL CONTROL IN AUSTRALIA", to be held in Sydney. The proposed date for the conference is the Queens' Birthday Weekend (June). They urge all people interested in presentations, running workshops or other activities to contact the 1984 Organising Committee (Ask for Sid or Allison) c/o JURA.

M.A.C. CLOSES

The MELBOURNE ANARCHIST CENTRE closed on March 3. The bookshop will be moving to its own location, and at press suitable premises are being looked at.

The reasons for closing are many-fold. However, the collective is optimistic that the decision to close the centre will lead to renewed activity by the groups formerly based there.

The Collective has felt for some time that the Centre has been a drain on its financial resources. The building is not suitable for large meetings and other locations were being used for some events. It was felt that it was better to put our energies into organising activities rather than into maintaining a building largely unsuitable for our needs. Other events such as "Club Libertaire's" are being looked at.

Mail for the Centre's Collective can be sent c/o P.O. Box 109, North Fitzroy.

ANARCHA-FEMINISTS

The anarchist-feminist group meets every second Monday at the Kingston Hotel (Womens Pub), 25 Highett St. Richmond (Vic.).

FESTIVAL

A number of anarchists from the M.A.C. have undertaken the organisation of a yet untitled festival to be held in Melbourne over Easter. The festival will feature music, predominantly women's and punk music, as well as exhibitions of CRASS ART and JILL FOSTER'S WORKSHOPS, stalls, workshops and video as well as talks are planned.

The festival will be held at the UNEMPLOYED WORKERS UNION building, 301 St. Georges Road, North Fitzroy, 3068. Phone: 4811 4545. Contact Michael or Julie c/o The U.W.U.
Anarchism is a system of values. These values are based on the consideration that all people are of equal worth and that people's true worth can only be realized when they are free to develop their individual potential.

The development of the person can only occur in co-operation with others. Hence anarchists hold dearly the value of "free association" between people. This "free association" means in practice that anarchists wish neither to be leaders or followers. Organisation on the basis of the "collective" is the means we see that can most easily avoid the order giver/order taker role that most people find themselves in capitalist society. Hence the commitment to "collective" means a rejection of hierarchical structures in another key anarchist value.

Anarchism is also the means to bring about the putting into practice of these values within a social system in a complete way as possible.

Anarchism, finally, is a way of approaching the social reality in order to understand it, interpret it and transform it.

Anarchism is thus ethics, social analysis and revolutionary program at one and the same time: the ethics of freedom, the methodology of freedom and the program of freedom. If these three aspects of anarchism are connected. They are a distillation of the aspirations towards emancipation of all oppressed and exploited people, which now compose a complete and coherent system, tried in practice and confirmed or modified on the basis of new historical developments.

The aim of anarchism is to encourage people to build a self-managed society. By this we mean a society which presupposes the abolition of the state and the private ownership of means of production with the distribution of society's wealth on the basis of equality, the displacement of the consumer society by social relations firmly grounded in richer cultural and community life and the reorganization of work according to criteria of health, interest, cooperation and social need. It also involves the replacement of social hierarchies (domination of women by men, children by adults, experts over lay people etc.) by decision-making which has its institutional basis in general assemblies of people at the grass roots level - the workplace and community. This is called "direct democracy".

As revolutionaries, a anarcho-communist can only conceive of one goal for a social revolution - the re-making of society so that human beings will be an end in themselves and life is a revered and marvellous experience!

As anarchists, we see that all action should derive from and be in harmony with this aim for self-management and hence self-management must be used as the reference for the control and coherence of activity. We see that there must be a consistency of our means for the ultimate aim of freedom to be achieved. This cannot be given from above or produced by anonymous forces... freedom will only occur with the practice of "direct democracy" and the self-organised activity of people. Self-activity is the only school for self-management.

References:
G.A.E. Pragram, (Program of the Federation of Anarchist Groups - Italy.)

---

To Change the Master is not to be FREE.

---

THE 5% WHO WON'T
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ONE'S DUTY

Despite all this alarm on the part of the government, a large proportion of people still believe that voting will get them somewhere. Wherever they believe sufficiently in the view that it is "one's duty" to vote that they continue to do so rain, hail or shine.

Others jealously guard their right to express their "stake in democracy" every three years in an action that takes, perhaps two minutes.

Are they fools? No. They are simply trying to "participate" or "have their say". It gives credence, moreover, to a system which, like it or not, is the single - most powerful force acting upon their lives. And, we are not talking about the parliament as the lynching pin of this "system" but rather voting as an expression of one's willingness to participate as a "citizen" as part of a way of organizing a society, an economy and a whole set of institutions nominally governed as a result of this voting process.

Psychologically speaking the individual copes better when they believe in and give support to a system that, at a sub-conscious level (or perhaps even on a conscious level when we are placed in stressful situations) is so much bigger than the sum of the persons involved that it threatens to engulf and

fortnightly program on Radio 3CR, 7am, Wednesdays) ANARCHIST/FEMINIST

Continued P.
overwhelm the individual who dares to disagree but, perhaps, has no concrete plan of resistance nor any foreseeable alternative in front of them. If alternatives are clearly articulated so that it is seen how many of those currently seen to "believe" in the system would rapidly defect if an avant-garde really do see themselves as describing a plausible alternative then they had better get out there and start saying something! An alternative that no-one hears about is no alternative. The goals of a non-hierarchical society based upon mutual aid with self-management as its primary goal will remain an empty hope if some way of putting this vision on the "political agenda" is not found.

For at least 5% of the population the comfort of clinging to the "winning side" of the parliamentary circus (that the government no matter who gets in) is not sufficiently soothing to put them going to the polls.

GETTING ON WITH THE ALTERNATIVE

A great many of this 5% are looking at other ways of doing things. Even if voting were to do anything a lot of people are losing patience.

They want to "take things into their own hands". These are, as it were, surprisingly, are those issues that do matter to them. They want to think about these matters themselves.

Recent examples: There are many. Squatters action at "Bona Vista", disabled women drawing attention to the hypocrisy of Miss Victoria Quest, women's pool against the exploitation and violence promoted by sex shops, free speech fights in Brisbane's city Unemployed Workers! Union defence of their offices, Roxby Downs and Pine Gap, self-managed neighbourhood co-operatives, rank and file worker groups, neighbourhood child care co-operatives, anti-freeway groups, parent operated alternative schools and so on.

Sometimes, as in the case of mass movements such as the anti-nuclear movement, people will have a "bet each way". They do vote and many of their actions are aimed at trying to influence the views of politicians. Simultaneously, however, they are trying to change the views of the population in the hope that popular support for their campaigns will develop.

All seems pretty reasonable -- or does it? What is the long-term effect of people who blame a particular society for the ecological and political ills that befall it but cannot see that these ailments are an integral and inseparable part of a system based upon waste, private profit, and greed? In saying "something's rotten" it seems to come from nowhere and can be stopped "without too much recourse to the way we live" what fallacies are they spreading?

Members of these political movements are encouraged by their self-appointed leaders to question the decisions of a particular government. They are never, however, encouraged to question the fact that they are not the decision-makers, themselves.

This is particularly galling when you consider the case of the anti-nuclear/peace movement. It does have popular support. However, this means little when it comes to the reality of electoral politics. Supporters of this movement were encouraged to vote the Labor Party into office because of its supposedly more "progressive" line on these issues. However, now that they are in power nothing has changed. Nor will it.

The social structure which dictates our involvement in the international arms race and the use of nuclear power remains intact. And, we are not to question that. We must, when it comes down to it, put our faith in government and retain our faith in leaders. We wonder how many of those who are involved in these movements are desperately disillusioned and frustrated in their efforts. In the final analysis, we do not believe that they can change a thing. They continue their efforts largely because they feel they must -- that the issues at stake are pressing. They act out of desperate fear rather than inspired hope.

Change does not come about because someone moves the position of a tick on a piece of paper. Change comes about because something is happening to people's ideas about themselves and about how their society works. Whilst any particular self-guided political change may not achieve overnight success those involved are achieving change within themselves. One of the most liberating events in a person's life can be that moment when they realise they are no longer an uncomprehending spectator to life's happenings but a totally conscious, resolute and active individual making decisions for themselves.

Unfortunately, some reach this condition by seeking and gaining power. They talk of "empowering themselves". Do what? The difference between the corrupted and the liberated is the constant desire of the latter to encourage this self-realisation in all. Some see the desirability of this but every action they take speaks for their more urgent need to have control over the lives of others. "Empowerment" is not the same as liberation.

Self-liberation occurs when individuals step outside of the safety of conformity. Our message to that girl at the "beach party" has to be that all must do something more than the triannual counter to the local church hall.

For anarchists the task is to prove that there is an alternative to the ritual of the mark on the place of paper, a silent wooden box.

SOURCES:
*Electoral office reveals massive underenrolment*, Byron Police, L.R.L.C., 26.9.83
*The Electoral Laws: A New Direction*, With the approval of the Minister of State, Address to National Press Club, 13.2.84
Forrell, J. "Polls alienation of young", The AGE, Sept. 1984
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Back in 1979 Richard Nixon announced new deals arranged for the production and distribution of Pepsi-Cola in the USSR, the negotiations having begun when Nixon was still a salesman for Pepsi-Cola on his way to becoming President of the United States. The original deal, involving the barter of Russian 'Stolichnaya' Vodka for Pepsi's 'secret formula' syrup and the setting-up on Russian soil of bottling plants by the Pepsi-Cola Corporation, was a fundamental step towards the negotiation of previously 'unthinkable' East/West capitalist co-ventures, between the 'ideologically opposed' superpowers of the 'Cold War'.

Since then, Western multi-national corporations have for almost 15 years been investing technology and capital equipment in Eastern Europe, and later China, arranging deals where they in return get a portion of production to sell at dumping prices, but very profitable, on Western markets. The profitability for the Western corporations lies in courses in the fact that wage costs are up to one tenth of those in the West, while workforce discipline is strictly guaranteed by the authoritarian 'socialist' production partner.

After Nixon's money denise over Watergate, Carter met Coca-Cola up with deals even better than Pepsi's. Coca-Cola headquarters were in Atlanta, Georgia (Jimmy's home town), and he had the full support of the Rockefeller/Coca-Cola establishment. The majority of people chosen for his new administration had links with multinationals trading with the East or to clubs and foundations in which the most powerful of elites mixed and formulated policy, such as the Trilateral Commission and the Rockefeller Foundations. As PepsiCo executives filled out with the rest of Nixon's administration, they were replaced by four more from Coca-Cola. Within a very short time, a new deal was announced in which Coke would have the monopoly inside the Moscow Olympic Stadium, and a contract was signed for the manufacture and distribution of it's Fanta range throughout the USSR (Coca retained Pepsi territory). Next stop was the Chinese Coke deal...

The most significant feature of all this is not the physical transfer of corporate production across ideological lines, but the shadowy world of relations between the elites who run both systems - the technocrats and bureaucrats of the huge global corporations, of capitalist states and of the corporation-like 'socialist' states of the East.

Far more than 3000 people in the world control the decisions-making of the largest multinationals and banks, which in turn control the smaller sections of the Western economy. Many of these corporations are powerful enough to determine the destiny of many Third World nations, while the same banks have the East in billions of dollars debt.

These people are linked by board-memberships, joint business and political ventures, and through their select clubs and foundations. These groups do exist and do make far-reaching decisions entirely in their own interests and entirely without accountability.

The situation is similar to the so-called 'socialist' countries, where a similar breed of economic management makes similar decisions for similar rewards. As Brezhnev's stable of sports cars demonstrated, the individuals involved have similar indulgences alike. Whilst the Eastern regimes claim to be opposed to capitalism, they are content to collaborate with individual capitalists in the form of multinational employers and financiers like David Rockefeller, Chairman of Chase Manhattan Bank and linked to the world's leading multinationals and power elites (chairman of the Trilateral Commission, etc.).

Rockefeller was a direct partner of Brezhnev and the Communist Party elite, being instrumental in arranging huge credits to the USSR and in fixing up deals and agreements in the spirit of the Vodka-Cola deal. The tenancy of No. 1 Karl Marx Plaza in Moscow is not, as might be expected, a primary Soviet institution, but Rockefeller's own Chase Manhattan Bank.

This schizophrenic separation between ideology and fact is also apparent in the West. Western armaments manufacturers hypocritically claim to be helping "Fight Communism" while investing heavily in the heartland of the "enemy". Almost all the Western companies which manufacture weapons and their guidance and delivery systems, under the most lucrative conditions, are also joint-venture partners of the trade monopolies of the supposed enemy. This is true of Rockwell International, General Electric, Lockheed, Ford, Control Data, General Motors, Chrysler, McDonnell-Douglas and the Bendix Corporation, all of whom have plants operating in Eastern Europe from which they are reaping rewards in the form of cheap output for resale in the West. Other arrangements have included a Levi Strauss
MEETS WEST;

Coca-Cola & Ruling Elites

A joint-venture in Hungary producing cans for sales in the West, and a Unioympol tire plant in Poland which sells it's output in France and other West European countries. Both General Motors and Renault have component factories in Eastern Europe, the finished cars being scarcely distributed in the East at all. At one stage, it was admitted in the British House of Commons that parts for cannon mounted on British NATO tanks were being made in the USSR because of more reliable delivery dates due to the absence of labor disputes. Further deals have been made like selling grain and wool to the USSR, while the USSR itself has just started operating the 4,500 kilometer gas pipeline which will eventually supply Western Europe with 4 billion cubic meters of gas a year. Typically, the pipeline was built with West German, British, French and Italian corporate know-how and Russian Labor. Other developments include deals between Western multinational and Soviet foreign trade organizations establishing jointly-owned ventures in Third World countries. For example, a US-Soviet fishing company (SovrybaLot) was formed. The USSR's fishing fleet has been forming joint-ventures in Europe, Asia and Africa with local companies. The US subsidiary was called US/USSR Marine Resources Inc. and the American partner was Bellingham Cold Storage Company. Fish caught by American vessels was processed on Soviet floating fish factories. In these arrangements, the multinationals bring their know-how and the Eastern partner their labor-power and raw materials, while each shares the profit.

The cheap, manageable labor supplied by the Eastern regimes is the predominant component of these operations; after all, for the Western multinationals, there is little other attraction. The technology and know-how is Western, the machines are mostly Western-made, start up costs are considerably lower, operating efficiencies are lower and land and buildings are a relatively insignificant factor. The only item to show a more profitable result, therefore, is labor costs. Without this advantage, and the credits, industrial cooperation would not take place.

Opruu Eaton, a Cleveland millionaire capitalist, President of Yale & Town Co, and an intimate friend and colleague of the Rockefellers and the Soviet rulers alike, put it succinctly in a statement in 1970. Commenting upon his negotiations for a $40 million 50/50 venture tyre plant in the East, he explained that the Communist state partner would 'own and operate the plant, supplying the operational management and labour'. His own Western half would be located in tax-haven Switzerland which would market the tyres everywhere in the West. 'This enabled the Eastern country to earn hard currency and,' he explained further, 'Because of lower costs, the venture can sell tyres cheaper than Western companies can'. The Eastern European workers are donating 'socially necessary labor time' to swell the profits of Western companies in direct competition with Western sisters and brothers, with the complicity of their government and unions.

The establishment of enterprises by Western multinationals in ideological 'enemy territory' has also to an extent helped contribute to unemployment in the West, as the multinationals have taken advantage of Eastern bloc workers in a similar way to how they exploit Third World workers. Much of the economic investment in the West, while initially generating a little employment in the West producing capital equipment, means that ultimately more jobs are probably lost as world production is proportionately concentrated more in Eastern and Third World countries where labor is cheap and discipline severe.

Despite all the media hype about 'Commies' and the 'Cold War', the power elites of the West are not in capitalist collusion for years. Deals such as massive extensions of credit, or the setting up of a chain of automotive engineering factories, are not embarked upon without lengthy planning, negotiation and coordination by the parties involved. The Coca-Cola deal was 10 years in the making. All negotiation between the elites is done in virtual secrecy, for deals of labor costs, and other costs involve billions of dollars, but public discussion of these would involve too much questioning of the respective ideologies pushed at the public East and West. It doesn't quite fit into the entirely false world view that the public has been fed, to bursting point, since the fifties, that all deals are made almost conspiratorially while old ideological illusions are maintained virtually intact.

International politics has moved from the horizontal clash of alternative power elites (East vs. West) to an increasingly vertical clash between the rulers and the ruled, between the power elites who act internationally and the people who are exploited and oppressed internationally. There is no more meaning to 'left/right' ideology in this context; there is only the continuing struggle between those above.
AFFINITY NO.1 - a response.

For example, in the first "Affinity" there is also an extract from Murray Bookschin's "Listen Marxist!" (1960's?) explaining that "his", i.e., Marx's principles "has been transcended not only theoretically but by the very development of society itself."

The class struggle in the classical sense has not disappeared; it has suffered a more deadening fate by being co-opted into capitalism. (p.14)

Clearly Bookschin accepted, at the time of writing, the separation of human populations into classes and presumably, therefore, the notions of economic relations on which Marx's class theory was based. Bookschin goes on to talk about the need for a new analysis because of new circumstances, but if anyone can extract a coherent analysis from his later books, I think they will be doing very well. In any event, I think he misses the point which is that class analysis was always inadequate and anarchists have always been trying to explain this inadequacy.

Reducing people to just their "work-production-money" aspects inevitably leads into the dead-end of social theory as economic planning, leaving out the other manifestations of oppression and alienation which flow from other kinds of power, other than wealth and control of productive resources. I find it impossible to explain or to understand sexism, or racism or the whole area of psychologically/emotionally measured barriers to autonomy with an economics-based class analysis or its 20th Century extensions, social hegemony etc. It seems to me that its only a power-analysis which comprehends people in all their roles, relations and potentials. Such an analysis is built on the perception that the personal is political. Class analysis is not.

It has been pointed out that conservatives have often pushed the "end of class" argument in order to weaken their opposition, principally the labor parties, by claiming that their natural constituency had vanished. Connell and Goot have shown that this kind of ideological attack is designed to:

- prevent working class mobilisation
- link issues of classlessness and modernity
- discredit attempts at political change and
- dampen resistance by discrediting people's experience.

(Connell & Goot, "The End of Class: Re-run" Meanjin, April, 1979)

What Connell and Hoot don't establish is that there is no better way of describing the conflict than class analysis. It is interesting that Connell's recent socio- logical work has been on male sexuality and premises he use "class terminology."

Anarchists have, therefore, more to be concerned about in attempts by non-anarchists to co-opt the principles and solutions for which they, anarchists, have struggled and died. For example, Andre Gorsz in Farewell to the Working Class reviewed recently and quoted in Abela residential exchange.

Gorsz argues that the skilled workers Marx foresaw as being the agents of the change to communism have lost their central role in the revolutionary movement. The people who are potentially a liberating force are those who don't define themselves in relation to their work and who are specifically concerned with autonomy and control over their lives.
It is not accidental that the peace movement and, in particular, women's groups therein, has been organised largely on non-hierarchical lines. However, pleasure at seeing our ideas utilised by others who call "unofficial anarchists" must be tempered with the unease at our possible scapegoating by the authorities and with the possibility of being pushed aside by a more opportunistic libertarian "Marxism". In this situation the anarcho-syndicalist strand could become extremely popular to the detriment of those of us who believe that an adequate analysis goes beyond decentralised Marxism, including the Libertarian Workers version which defines workers as those who are given orders and paid low wages". (P.3. As We See It)

Again, we could find ourselves in the double-bind position of being attacked and perhaps imprisoned for what we are not, and being refused acknowledgement for what we are.

On the question of scapegoating, at this point, I merely point out that the alleged "anarchist" graffiti on the runway at Pine Gap was apparently not placed there by anyone outside the gates, the bomb at Lucas Heights was "discovered" in time and newspapers such as The Age have apparently just discovered anarchism again. All of which, with the 1880's - 1890's experience, and the 1911 experience (ed. note: that is, earlier scapegoating of anarchists by the Press), very dear to me, says that we are at a most important and pivotal time, in which we could go on to markedly greater things or we could slip back into ghettolised obscurity and stigma. Either way we need to think clearly about who we are and why.

The final trial of the women arrested at the Anzac Day demonstration last year was held on January 23rd in the Melbourne Magistrate's Court. So far, 7 women have been tried. 5 of the cases have been dismissed. The remaining 2 were convicted of their charges. Interestingly, theirs were the first cases to be heard and the harsh convictions they received ($200.00 and $300.00 fines respectively) were, no doubt, to serve as a warning for any prospective participants in future Anzac Day activities.

The Anarchist-Feminist Group played an active role on the day. Here, one of the members of this group arrested, on the day explained her reasons for participating in the demonstration in a statement given to the court:

"I attended the demonstration because I feel that it is important for each individual to express, publicly, their views on issues on which they feel strongly.

I attended the demonstration to express my feelings about the position of women in wartime and pacifism and to express, also, my attitude towards war, in general.

I see war, whether in wartime or "pacifism" as the ultimate violation of a woman's person and rights. I see war as the ultimate violation of humanity. So, for me, the two issues are very closely related.

So, again, in 1975, I read an article in a book that really brought these two issues together for me. The book was a photo-essay book in Vietnam. One passage, in particular, struck me, and since then I have seen it referred to in Susan Brownmiller's book "Against Our Will". It concerned a group of American soldiers who had gone into a village on a "search and destroy" mission. It was a record of the testimony of one of the American soldiers to a public forum on war crimes during the Vietnam War.

"I saw one case where a woman was shot by a sniper, one of our snipers. And the lieutenant said to kill her. So I stripped her clothes, they stabbed her in both breasts, she spread her eagle and shoved an "E" (entrenching) tool into her vagina, and she was still asking for water, and then they took that out and they threw the tree limb and then she was shot."

When asked whether "...it was (considered) alright to do anything to the Vietnamese the soldier replied:

"It wasn't like they were humans... They were a gook or a Commie and it was okay."


I see this example as the very reason why it was right for me to be there on Anzac Day. War is the embodiment of violence against people on their own ends. Cloaked in the pursuit of "national defence" or "national honour" war is a degradation of everything that I consider to be human.

Rape of women has, since ancient times, been a traditional weapon of war. When the City of Constantinople was sacked in 1204 rape and plunder went hand-in-hand, as in the sack of almost every ancient city. Today the situation is no different.

Some have said that it was wrong for us to demonstrate on Anzac Day. I believe that the issue at stake here is really one of "free expression". I respect the right of those who attend Anzac Day to commemorate the dead in war. That is why I supported the decision to march at the rear of the shrine. But I also defend my right to express another point of view about war -- and "WAR" surely is the issue of the day.

Anzac Day is a public expression of a particular attitude towards war. But there is a great diversity of attitudes towards this issue in the community. To pretend otherwise is to deny the right of those with differing opinions to express these publicly. In a democracy it is our right to express different opinions. To suppress the expression of different opinions means that there is, in fact, NO democracy.
Emma - a great passion for freedom.


(not yet available in English)

It is appropriate that veteran Spanish anarchist historian and activist José Peirats should have published this valuable addition to the literature on Emma Goldman. In a letter to Vernon Richards in September 1938, just before the last of her three visits to Spain during the civil war, the great libertarian fighter wrote that, should anything happen to her, "I will go as I lived believing to the end in the ultimate triumph of our ideas."1

Also you should explain to the comrades that though I disagreed with much that our Spanish comrades had done, I stood by them because they were fighting so heroically with their backs to the wall against the whole world, misunderstood by some of their own comrades and betrayed by the workers as well as by every Marxist organisation. Whatever verdict future historians will give of the struggle of the CNT-FAI*, they will be forced to acknowledge two great actions of our people, their refusal to establish dictatorship when they had power, and having been the first to rise against fascism.

(*The anarcho-syndicalist Union and the Iberian Anarchist Federation)

Published in Anarchy, 114, August 1970.

Peirats' biography is valuable above all for its detailed discussion, based upon his own intimate knowledge and hitherto unpublished letters by Emma, of her part in and attitudes towards the libertarian revolution in Spain during the civil War. As such, in relation to the existing literature, it is important for two reasons. The first is that Emma's autobiography (Living My Life) stops in 1931; and while Richard Drinnon's excellent (biography of Emma) Rebel in Paradise (1961), the Drinnons' (collected letters of Emma and Alexander Berkman) Nowhere at Home and Red Emma Speaks by Alix Kates Shulman contain brief discussions, Peirats' book is the first detailed account of the trials of Goldman's Spanish involvement.

Peirats' "life" is to be welcomed, secondly, because it provides timely correction to the tendency -- represented above all in Shulman's writing in recent times to try to transform Emma into a "feminist." Goldman was, quite rightly as a libertarian, uncompromisingly opposed to any form of sexist discrimination, and equally committed to the sexual emancipation of women. But her sexual libertarianism was no more nor less than the consistent expression of her commitment to freedom and equality for all human beings, in every sphere of human existence. Only one trapped in the myopic blinders of contemporary Feminist sexism could possibly so misread and distort Emma's full-blooded all-encompassing libertarian legacy into the ideology for a feminist "Emma Goldman Brigade". Peirats has the good old fashioned sense and respect for fact to avoid any such sexist perversion, correctly presenting Goldman as what she was: a revolutionary passionate for human justice and equality in every domain. Genuine emancipation, insisted Emma, "will have to do away with the absurd notion of the dualism of the sexes, or than man and woman represent two antagonistic worlds."

For Goldman, freedom and truth were indivisible. To be a libertarian was to insist not just on one's rights but one's duty to speak the truth: "the truth was above everything else". (Peirats, p. 220) To deny or refuse to recognise unpleasant realities, especially when performed by "liberarians" in the name of "revolution" was at best to collaborate in dangerous wishful thinking, at worst in crimes against freedom and revolution. It was in this spirit that she overcame her natural desire to support and champion the Russian revolution forced herself to recognize the state of counter-revolutionary terror and repression created by Lenin's bolsheviks. Though few in the West wanted to know, she spoke out, helpfully, in the name of truth and freedom against the leadership's betrayal of the revolution she yearned for.

The twenties and thirties were years of bitter exile, Stalinist counterrevolution at home and abroad, and the rise of the fascist and nazi dictatorships. As courageously committed to her libertarian ideals as Emma was 67 years old in July 1936, when the Spanish civil war erupted. Her life-long companion Alexander Berkman had just taken his own life following an incurable illness, leaving Emma feeling deeply depressed and abandoned. In those circumstances, "The triumph of the Catalan proletariat in their battle against the military uprising".
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wrote Peirats, "was like a floating log to which she could seize hold. That hope rejuvenated her." (Peirats, 15)

Goldman immediately went to Barcelona to serve the CNT-FAI libertarian revolution now sweeping both town and countryside. At last, her hopes were to be realised. Here, in lived reality, was the valid alternative to the repressive horrors of both State capitalism and State communism. "I can't tell you what the Spanish revolution means to me," she wrote to her friend Albert de Jong. It occurred at the saddest moment of my life, when my old companion Sasha (Alexander Berkman) died. It didn't seem possible for me to live. The summons of the Spanish comrades was like a beacon in the dark night."

Despite the impressive achievements of the agrarian and urban libertarian collectives, Emma's hopes were soon dashed, following the Leninist decisions of the CNT-FAI leadership to join the regional and central governments, in September and November, 1936, respectively. Dispatched to London to run the CNT-FAI's press and propaganda office, Goldman experienced as never before the agonising conflict between her heart's libertarian hopes and her head's commitment to libertarian principles - "truth above all."

Believing that the only two alternatives were--as she wrote to Vernon Richards and repeated frequently in letters to other friends--either some sort of anarchist dictatorship or the "lesser evil" of collaboration in the regional and central governments, Emma chose to support the latter. Previously, in the name of freedom, she had publicly denounced the "Bolshevik" principles that "noble ends can somehow justify "corruptible means" or that compromises are somehow justified by comparison with "bigger" crimes or evils. Then, in the name of genuine critical participation to the revolution, she had insisted on truthfully and accurately describing the virgin's baby.

Now, as the increasingly communist-dominated governments throttled the Spanish revolution in the name of winning victory over France, Goldman was powerless, heartbroken, to alienate herself from her head. Privately she would complain and criticise; publicly, she would proclaim and defend the beleaguered revolution and her compromised CNT-FAI comrades. Refused permission to return to Spain--she pleaded to fight and die in Spain rather than watch and suffer impotently in uncaring England--she lashed out in guilt and bitterness at Max Nettlau and other anarchist comrades as armchair critics--parlour anarchists. Despite all her private reservations (she was particularly scathing on Garcia Oliver and Frederica Monseny) publicly she would defend the CNT-FAI leadership to the end, "be this triumph or death."

Peirats sympathetically presents the drama of Emma's agony in all its tragic detail, an agony heightened after the Barcelona May Days, and the communists' murder of Camillo Berneri and other anarchist comrades. In fact, however, was Emmanuel described in believing that, in Peirats' phrase, "the Spanish comrades had no other alternative than either their own dictatorship or collaboration in the government"---in other words, direct or indirect State dictatorship? Though Peirats does not argue so, there were in fact, at least two other alternatives, neither of which Goldman, because of her need to hope, was willing to entertain. The first is that I take to be Peirats' own position: Given the chain of events which "placed the CNT in a morally dramatic and materially helpless position...I believe that those of us who consistently opposed collaboration with the government had as our only alternative a principled, heroic defeat." (Peirats, Anarchists in the Spanish Revolution)

But was the self-appointed CNT-FAI leadership in a morally or materialistically hopeless position in September and November, 1936? I believe evidence amassed by Peirats himself, Vernon Richards, Noam Chomsky, Barnett Pollock and other serious students of the revolution, demonstrates that, for all the difficulties besetting the popular revolution (including the Leninist elitism and desire to collaborate of "influential", CNT-FAI leadership--at least), its considerable creative achievements in almost every sphere made possible and realistic a fourth alternative: the uncompromising extension and radicalisation throughout the whole of Spain (colonies included) of the popular, libertarian revolution. Of course, there is in the nature of things, no guarantee that this option of popular revolutionary war would have sufficed to achieve its ends, given the material difficulties. The real tragedy, for Emma and the CNT leadership, caught up in their untenable "either anarchist dictatorship or collaboration" framework, did not or could not see: (a) that this was the only alternative that could, in principle and practice, realise Emma's libertarian revolutionary hopes; and (b) that the uncompromising public urging of this alternative and rejection of the collaborationist line of the CNT "dynasty", was not merely the right but the duty of any genuine friend of popular revolution.

This was, indeed, precisely the position and practice of her comrades Buenaventura Durruti and Camillo Berneri before their deaths in November, 1936 and May, 1937 respectively. As Emma herself insisted in a letter to John Cowper Powys a propos the Spanish tragedy in 1938: "freedom and government do not mix."

Peirats has written a beautiful, deeply understanding, important life of Goldman and her passion for human freedom. This passion led her astray in her last campaign concerning the Spanish revolution. However, we can, if we have the courage and intelligence to stand on her considerable shoulders and learn from her great efforts (errors included), profit immeasurably from her mistakes. For those interested, José Peirats' Emma is an excellent leg-up. With luck, the book should be available in English in the not too distant future.

by Pat Flanagan
Barcelona
December 6, 1983

ORGANISATION, P.O. Box 223, Broadway 7000, XROPotKin's CAFE, 27 Vulture Street,
Comrades,

Greetings. Thanks for sending the first issue of AFFINITY. Enclosed you will find a small donation to help get it going on a regular basis. I also want to thank you for reprinting the article sketching the biography of Anna Walentynowicz. It will no doubt be of interest to you to learn that she remains active in the fight against the Jaroslaw regime. On December 4th, Anna was arrested along with Kasimierz Switon who like her is a veteran union militant whose activity traces back to the small free trade union committee of the 1970s. They were charged with disturbing public order for attempting to erect a plaque outside the mine near Kowale where seven striking miners died in a clash with the militia during the immediate post-martial law resistance strikes.

Nearly 500 people were present at the unauthorised ceremony. Elsewhere there is news that the comrades of the "Emmanuel Goldstein" group in Warsaw have managed to put out a newspaper. Unfortunately, however, fuller details are as yet unavailable. So despite the seemingly gloomy situation in Poland these days, there are things happening which provide reason for hope.

For Libertarian Communism,
B.C.
Canada. 30/12/83.

Dear Collectivists,

I liked the copy of AFFINITY you sent—especially the article on Poland and anarchist groups vs a visa Solidarity. The article on Central America and the issue of "national liberation" movements was more balanced than many anarchist critiques. I do feel there is a tendency for powerless (academic?) types to criticise from ivory towers without a real understanding of concrete possibilities within particular countries and situations.

Articles like the one on Poland begin to "flesh out" at least some of the current political factors. Anyway, keep up the good work. Hope this subscription($10) helps with future issues. I no longer get News from Nowhere from W.A. - has it folded?

A couple of us here in Adelaide would like to see AFFINITY more widely distributed here.

So long and "buen suerte"
B.A. Adelaide.

---

WANT TO GET INVOLVED WITH THE "AFFINITY COLLECTIVE"?

Come along to our first readers meeting. All you need is the commitment and desire to see the creation of a free and self-managed world!

SUNDAY APRIL 29TH. 2PM.
Meeting Room
CARRINGBUSH LIBRARY,
415 Church st. Richmond.

DROP US A LINE....

.... if you've got any ideas, comments or questions about Affinity and/or Anarchism. We also welcome any News, Articles, or Graphics that you may like to contribute! Looking forward to hearing from you....
IN THIS Collection of essays and articles another way of looking at the problem of employment is posed by the question

WHY WORK?


As Richards acknowledges, the question posed in the title of this latest Freedom Press publication would be considered rhetorical by three-quarters of the world’s people who still live in predominantly agrarian economies. But how does it look to the remaining quarter who live in the so-called ‘affluent’ industrial economies? If you happen to be one of those in this country who has been declared ‘redundant’ and deputed to join the fastest growing army – the army of the unemployed, now three to four million strongly and predictably double in the next decade – you may not take kindly to the suggestion that ‘the question is far from being a rhetorical one.’ At first glance, the question looks stupid or worse, provocative. It might even be a lead-in for some sophistical expert economist to peddle the current nostrum – reducing the dole and other benefits so that the unemployed will have a greater incentive to get on one of Tebbitt’s bikes. Leaving the latter important issue aside, there is little doubt that to most people in our society, employed and unemployed alike, the question, Why work? still looks absurd. Work in the sense of paid employment, disagreeable though it often is, remains at the centre of their lives, providing not only money but much else besides – for example, status and a sense of personal identity. Confirmation of this comes in a current news item on the radio. An academic in Edinburgh reports the findings of his research: unemployed males are eleven times more likely than employed to commit, or attempt to commit, suicide. The rate varies with the length of unemployment: the long-term unemployed (over one year) are nineteen times more likely to seek this ‘final solution’ to their problems.

Richards, of course, is aware that the protestant work ethic, and all that gos with it, is still dominant in our society. But the question, Why work? needs to be pressed, and he is surely right in believing that “a growing minority of working people are asking themselves this very question.”

But the truth of the matter is that unemployment on a much greater scale than at present is likely to become a permanent feature of the overdeveloped capitalist world of high technology and micro-chips. Attitudes to paid work must, therefore, be radically revised and solutions sought outside the conceptual frameworks of both the existing political Right and Left.

Such is the rationale of this timely compilation of writings on the subject of work. The book is divided into four sections. In the first the general approach of anarchists and libertarian socialists is expressed in two classic essays: Bertrand Russell’s ‘In Praise of Idleness’ and William Morris’s ‘Useful Work versus Useless Toil.’ The second section, dealing with problems of work and its pleasures opens with George Woodcock’s essay on ‘The Tyranny of the Clock’. The clock, Woodcock observes, was the first automatic machine to attain public importance and a social function: it changed people’s habits and their very conception of time. Its ascendancy is associated with that key slogan of capitalist ideology: ‘time is money.’ The section concludes with Tony Gibbon’s wittty answer to that stock question at anarchist meetings: ‘Who will do the dirty work?’ Of the essays in between, two are anarchist classics, each newly translated by the editor. One a Kropotkin’s ‘The Wage System’, which provides the theoretical basis of anarchist-communism; the other is a lesser known essay by Camillo Berneri which is also essential reading.

The third section on alternatives and futures includes pieces on the Israeli kibbutzim and the Spanish collectives. It includes also six brilliant drawings by Clifford Harper which graphically portray how people in existing communities might conceivably transform their way of life. The commentary to the drawings is provided by Colin Ward whose Housing: An Anarchist Approach has recently been reissued with a 1983 postscript. By Freedom Press. In compiling this section, however, the editor has not drawn only on anarchist sources. There are also August Heckscher’s thoughtful essay on ‘Leisure in America’ and a highly original paper on ‘The Other Economy: the possibilities of work beyond employment’. Don’t be put off by the fact that the author of the latter, Dennis Pym, is a professor at the London Business School. Clearly, he is not a typical representative of that School, as is suggested by the note that he also ‘manages a small flock of sheep in Suffolk.’

The fourth and final section consists of fifteen editorials written by Vernon Richards for FREEDOM between 1958 and 1962 on the theme of ‘production for need versus production for profit’. Like other of Richards’s editorials on other themes which have formed the basis of two previous FP books, these well deserve retrieval from the paper’s files. Only the names of passing politicians and the statistics – for example, the figure for the unemployed in Britain in 1959: a mere 431,000! – betray their date. In one respect, they retain all the freshness and topicality that is evident in the editor’s analysis written in March 1983, in which, of course, the statistics are out-dated. In one sense, their topicality might be thought depressing. Twenty and more years on, the same arguments still need to be made. But, more importantly, these editorials provide a lasting model for anarchist commentators. The closing words of the last editorial are re-published in the book provide a good illustration of Richards’s vivid style and of the thought and vision that sustains him:

‘People will understand and accept the anarchist argument when they feel that the day is too short for all the things they want to do. For only then will they resent every hour they spend “earning a living” doing socially useless jobs which have only meaning for their boss. Only then will they give their meaning to life, to freedom, to individuality, instead of mourning meaningless slogans, and will feel that these values are worth fighting for. Anarchism is not the struggle for better wages, more gadgets and full employment. It is the struggle to win the freedom to dispose of one’s own time. Time is money; time is life. When people can be persuaded to think along these lines we will have taken a real step forward on the road to anarchy.’

As a postscript, it may be noted that the book is dedicated to the memory of Joan Toner (1952-1978) and Jack Robinson (1912-1983). The former, a generous Friend of Freedom Press, I was not fortunate enough to know personally; but the latter – dear Jack, occasionally irritating Jack (I’m thinking of the questions he quietly levelled at me) – I did. He was a comrade who, like the compiler of this book, knew the true meaning of work and who lived accordingly. It constitutes, therefore, a fitting tribute to his memory.

Geoffrey Ostergaard
Millions of people, in present economic conditions, have difficulty in attaining the self respect that comes from the spell of that right and duty, fundamental to all who have nothing but their chains, namely WAGE LABOUR.

Whole generations now lack the incentive to wake up, one of the most salutory traditions of this nation, the "Monday morning" resolution, and they fall into confusion, anguish and disorganization. Abstinence from work, attitudes to work, disorder and neglect. Moreover, millions of bludgers now have to contend with the overwhelming guilt of receiving an income without being able to contribute to the community. Sociologists and psychologists agree. Work is the perfect remedy for drug abuse, hoodlumism, vandalism, schizophrenia.

In the sixties boom, women, migrants and other trouble makers were encouraged to donate their surplus effort to the cause of Economic Growth. Now, inadmissibility is the ultimate sacrifice, the discipline of their welfare is degenerating discipline of work by striking, slow work, absenteeism and sabotage. Indifferent to the joy of work, they make unrealistic wage demands. This threat to CIVILIZATION forced employers to remodel work. Through austerity measures such as redundancy, speed-ups, income policy, inflation and other necessary remedies they have restored the DIGNITY OF LABOUR.

For workers the current mass unemployment opens unexpected prospects for toil harder, more exuberantly, teaching them to repress excessive expectations. They should grasp the chance of labouring not only for personal fulfillment or family obligations but for THE COMPANY, INVESTMENT and NATION.

The demand for "THE RIGHT TO WORK" fits excellently into the context of WORLD RECESSION and will, hopefully, stimulate competition between those in work and those without. Workers should recognize the sanctity of this demand and cease all activity which threatens the rules of employment. This measure will maximize JOB SATISFACTION. Work must be valued once again. It is not enough just to do it for the money. And...why be content with only 8 hours a day?

Especially thrilling are the creation of UNEMPLOYED CENTRES where Union officials can find secure jobs, and we know we can always pop in for a cold cup of tea and a warm handshake.

Through the WAGES ACCORD the Labor Government has shown us how union/government/employer co-operation can make work bracing enough to be worthwhile. We welcome the advent of the CORPORATE STATE. We find reassurance in knowing our place is at the bottom. The A.C.I.T.E. must be encouraged to continue marching, regimenting and representing the victims of blind market forces. How else are they to learn to go on their knees to beg?

The LST are to be commended for refraining from complicating matters with excessively critical theory or over-imaginative activities. They help to stabilise a dangerous situation by their diverting show of opposition. They popularise the it: "That identifies class with work. Socialists all job-sharing, co-operatives, and other ingenuity. They aspire to reform the system so that none are excluded. For the lucky few (holders of University degrees, a social conscience and previous membership of left-wing student clubs) they offer interesting jobs, participation, training, high morale and opportunities to organise others' lives.

Through the granting of new powers and expansion in the prison system, police will be encouraged to assist those genuinely seeking work.

REMEMBER! Sacrifice is not enough! The Government must encourage all to adopt the belief: "DEMAND MORE WORK - LESS MONEY".